

Date: July 8th, 2015

Attendance

Board Members

Present: Bob Lowrance, Roger Rawson, Rich Hertel, Monte Thus, Dave Decker, Darren Onwiler and Jim Perotti

Quorum Present: Yes

Others Present

Glenn Dalton, Rob Frey, and Brandy Pickett from the Holishor Office.

Holishor Members Present: 27

Proceedings

Meeting called to order at 7:30 PM

Pledge of Allegiance Recited

Minutes of June 24th, 2015

Dave Decker- I have requested an electronic copy of the minutes and the Lake Manager stated a rule that the member would have to come to the office to submit a request to listen to the electronic minutes. I believe that I should have the same access to the minutes as I am an active member of the Board. The President stated that it should be brought up tonight. Before adjusting the minutes I would like to know if the board member has access to the minutes or if they need to come to the office and review them? **Bob Lowrance** – We as a board are citizens of the community and we should not get special privileges that we do not give community members. So we as a board need to make a decision if we can allow that to happen as we do not let the members of the community to do so. **Roger Rawson** – I agree that this would ensure that we are having the correct information typed into the minutes, that we as a board are doing our job. **Rich Hertel** – What happens after you receive the electronic copy and are no longer on the board? Are they destroyed or given back to the office? **Roger Rawson** – if it is on a portable drive or on a cd then it can be given back to the office. **Darren Onwiler** – I see this not as a security issue rather than just a tool that each board member can use that the minutes reflect the conversation that happened in the minutes, **Monte Thus** – I think that it would save us some time during the meetings, for errors that need to be cleaned up in the minutes. **Darren Onwiler** – I don't see an issue with this as long as it is requested in a timely manner.

Dave Decker - Makes a motion to allow the board to have access to the electronic copy of the minutes. **Darren Onwiler-** Seconds

Motion Carries

Correction need to be made. Minutes are not approved, Held off for approval at the July 22nd 2015 meeting.

Transfers of property.

None. Board will be provided a blank report when there are no transfers.

Bills and Salaries

Dave Decker- motions to approve as submitted. **Rich Hertel-** Seconds

Motion Carries

Treasury Report

Monte Thus- We applied \$4325.00 to the North Property principle, from a sale of a lot. \$9500.00 was paid to the Port lane engineer, and we made the first \$8000.00 payment towards the fireworks.

Rich Hertel - Motions to approve. **Roger Rawson** - Seconds.

Motion Carries

Managers' Report

Read by **Glenn Dalton**

Security Report

Read by **Glenn Dalton**

There were a total of 36 incidents reported and 15 Citations written. 2 animal incidents, 10 vehicle incidents, 2 property incidents, 5 incidents involving persons, 6 alarm responses, 8 incidents on the lake and 3 other incidents.

Rich Hertel- With the orange flag law, it has been brought to my attention that some people are running with a permanent flag which is taking away from the intent of the usage. **Glenn Dalton**- People are doing a good job of using them while towing and they are not taking them down when not using. **Dave Decker**- on animal incidents, did they find a bag of fish? I got a call from this individual and there wasn't a bag of fish laying there it was a fish floating. **Glenn Dalton** – I will have to go back and check Dave, I am not positive. **Dave Decker** – On Sunday 6-28 I had a conversation with a member and on 6-29 I sent an email to **Glenn Dalton**- asking to deliver an incident report, I have not received a report, and I received a call from Bob Lowrance stating that he and Glenn did not feel I was authorized to make such request. I didn't know that we could not request without going to the president? No one inquired to ask about the incident and wanted to read the report to get a second?

Dave Decker- Makes a motion that the board be authorized to communicate and request information from the Lake Manager and the office as long as they do it in writing without going through the president. **Darren Onwiler**- Seconds

All In favor Darren Onwiler, Roger Rawson, Dave Decker, Jim Perotti, Monte Thus

All Opposed Rich Hertel

Motion Carries

Conversation ensues....

Building Committee Report

Read by **Rich Hertel**

The Building Committee cared for 17 items at the June 16th and July 7nd 2015 meetings. A permit for a fence was approved and closed. A permit for a garage, pool, storage shed, a deck cover and a new house were approved. A request for 2 storage sheds and a 1 story addition were put on hold for more info. Five permits were closed with refunds and 2 permits were closed with refunds held for completion issues.

Correspondence

Letter from Patti Kelly (867)

Patti forwarded a letter to the Board of Directors discussing the problems in Spanish cove. She feels that there are too many boats using the community property and feels this is a safety issue. **Patti Kelly (867)** I am at the cove where people gather, we always were first come first serve and the association placed posts where boats can park. People are now putting in their own tie rods and posts that boats are on top of each other and it is not safe. I am sandwiched in and cannot get access to my own boat. It looks like a junk yard down there because it cannot be maintained with all the tie rods and posts sticking out everywhere. I understand people want to park their boats for free. Don't we all? **Bob Lowrance** – People have been adding them without permission. Do we want Lakes and Dams Committee for discussion? **Rich Hertel**- I feel that people should not be allowed to add additional posts without permission. **Patti Kelly (867)** I think that a few more posts could be added by the association but I believe that there are too many now. Glenn has been very helpful. **Darren Onwiler** – Could there be more posts added safely? **Glenn Dalton**- We had them spaced, and people are adding and they are in there to tight. I think the association has 8-10 posts down there.

Conversation Ensues.

Passed to Lakes and Dams for evaluation and recommendation.

Letter from Anita and Ross Bogle

Anita and Ross Bogle forwarded a letter to the Board back in June asking for variance request for their shadow box fence and it was declined. **Glenn Dalton-** I responded to that request. I met with Mr. Bogle on the 4th of July and he stated that the fence was taken care of and relocated the fence board and it was replaced with steel to keep the vermin out. I sent that to Gerry Theodore and it was discussed I think that it is acceptable. From what he indicated the issue has been resolved.

Letter from Michael Hawks

Michael Hawks forwarded a letter to the board of directors in regards to the water drainage issues at 585 Clover Dr. and would like some feedback to addressing this issue. **Michael Hawks (585)** I would like to reserve all comments until the Road Plan is addressed.

Old Business

Covenant Amendment Affirmation Status –

We have 1347 signed amendments, there are 1968 total amendments available and we needed 1313 amendments to pass. As of now we have an overage of 34 amendments.

Bob Lowrance- I have the covenant affirmation for the association that needs updated and to be signed.

Dave Decker- Makes a motion to sign the covenant affirmation with the majority of the Association Members in agreement. **Roger Rawson-** Seconds.

Motion Carried

Affirmation Certification Process

We would like to get the auditors out here July 15th 2015 for the certification. **Glenn Dalton-** We will use Madison County List dated end of business July 14th and the alphabetical affirmations that we provided to the auditors for the count. We will alphabetize the County list so that it is easier for the auditors to sort. **Dave Decker-** if we have affirmations that do not match, for example if a person owned and signed for two affirmations and now only has one, what we will do with that particular affirmation. **Glenn Dalton-** That will be up to the auditors. If it is not certified on county doc they can give them what is on county document or go to county and certify that is correct. **Dave Decker –** Say we have a document that says he owns 1 lot but signed for two, what will we do with that affirmation? **Glenn Dalton –** As discussed with Sara today, they can go back to the document that we maintain to see if it is viable or they can go back to Madison County to see if they have any record of it. The direction that we were given was to use the document that Madison County was to provide. **Dave Decker-** So all of that and they still only own one lot, but the affirmation says 2, what are we going to do with that affirmation? **Glenn Dalton –** That is up to the accounting firm because they are the ones that will provide the certification and they will give them only one vote. **Dave Decker-** We do not have any of what you just said documented anywhere will be our procedure? **Glenn Dalton –** What we need to document is that it will be going down to them, which they will use the County document for the base of their count, and they are getting the affirmations. **Dave Decker-** My personal opinion is that we need to have a better procedure than that. In addition to that it is my understanding that the committee had requested to do a test run before this was submitted. **Bob Lowrance –** I am not aware of that. **Scott Webber (909)-** Those are some of the questions that we have been asking. This has been discussed for years. The issue being is that once you start the process and they are throwing out affirmations, which is why we want to do a test run, and any errors that take place and it does not get to the 1313 affirmations needed, it comes in at say 1310, you cannot go get 2 more affirmations. You will be able to go get the 2, however the count will have to start all over again. You will continue to pay over and over for the count until it passes. We are willing to come

in and pre count to do some due diligence and maybe save the association a couple bucks, from there take it to the auditors. **Bob Lowrance** – I agree with that and would like that to be done. I always like to look to the committees for guidance. **Scott Webber (909)** I would have to get with the committee to get a date. We will check with the office records and the county records. **Bob Lowrance**- If we are going to use the county records then that is what you need to check with. **Roger Rawson** – Lets check with the auditor to see about another date to certify, that way this can be done and we do not need to rush this, it has been going on for 5 years. Can they give us their procedure in writing? They will also need to give us a procedure of how the issues that may come up be handled. **Scott Webber** – We will call Sara and get a procedure in line to do a test run.

Communications and Media Committee

Roger Rawson – We approved this committee at the last meeting, they are looking into all means of communication between the office staff, the Board of Directors to the membership. They will look into Holiday Times, Facebook, Twitter, Email, Website, etc., identifying future opportunities, clarifying any barriers of financial challenges to existing methods and identifying concerns. The chair person shall report to the Board of Directors and the Lake Manager. **Dave Decker** – Do our committees report to the lake manager or only the board? **Glenn Dalton** – They do not report to me, we just coordinate.

Ball Diamond Fence

Glenn Dalton – The third base side is ready for fencing and we are working on the first base side. We need to wait for marathon pipeline to be present when placing the poles, and with the weather we have had we are at a standstill. With the foul ball protection over the back stop we will have to defer that to a winter project. Scott and I have determined that we will have 30 feet of fence left to do that. **Bob Lowrance**- Weather permitting you will be able to do the small area? **Glenn Dalton**- As soon as we can get marathon pipeline present to do so.

Ice Cream Vendor and Solicitation

Bob Lowrance I would like to see the no solicitation rule stay in effect until we can talk with the membership. I think the new committee can help get the opinions of the membership. **Rich Hertel**- I spoke with Glenn today and Andy Carruthers would say that an ice cream vendor would be allowed out here if the board voted on that? **Glenn Dalton**- The board has the authority to allow that. **Rich Hertel** - If we choose to have a vendor out here then we have that authority. **Bob Lowrance** – That is why we are going to let the Communications Committee handle that and ask the membership. **Roger Rawson** – The purpose of the Communication Committee is not to do that, their purpose is to explore ways to communicate. **Bob Lowrance** – I should have said that they will make recommendations on how to do that.

Monte Thus- I would like to make the motion to keep the No Solicitation Rule in effect for now. **Roger Rawson** – Seconds

Rich Hertel -Are we closing the door for an ice cream vendor at the moment or permanently? **Roger Rawson** – No, just for the moment. **Dave Decker** – The door is closed until another motion is made.

All in favor- Monte Thus, Rich Hertel, Jim Perotti, Roger Rawson

All Opposed- Darren Onwiler, and Dave Decker.

Motion Carries

Road Committee Report

Todd Webb (123) We have discussed a road plan and have submitted a road plan for oil and chip to the board via email. At this point we are having another meeting tomorrow to discuss ditching and at the moment we are looking at in-house ditching. **Bob Lowrance-** This plan address the oil and chip would it be prudent for us to wait until you have the full recommendation? **Philip Tate (395)** I think what we are trying to do is going with the road plan that was submitted and talking tomorrow night about budget allocations and then making recommendations to present to you guys. **Bob Lowrance** – Do you know how much now you are using for the oil and chip? I would like to see the whole package so that we can decide if we want to allocate more or less in certain portions of the plan. Philip has requested that tomorrow night any board member that would like to attend can do so. **Rich Hertel-** Jim and I attended the last meeting and I gained a lot of knowledge of this and I think that you all are doing very well. It seems that you all have a good handle on things. My wife and I road drove through the community and I can see that the roads need some attention, but I could not tell which would need to come first. **Dave Decker** –Are we as a board planning on approving the road plan and ditching? **Bob Lowrance** – I agree. **Roger Rawson** – I also agree that we are to approve a plan. **Darren Onwiler** – I would not support any other way, without approving a plan. **Dave Decker** – I have a couple questions for Philip. We are going to start oil and chip of the level 3 roads which are labeled our best roads? As far as ditching you will try to figure out an allocation of chipping as to ditching. I do appreciate what you guys are doing. You were requesting a special allocation of \$100,000, for roads and ditching for next year. I responded that the budget was already approved. Your response was from the overage and carryover of the 2015 road plan. **Philip Tate (395)** We made the comment of the special allocation to get the members thinking, because this will be a large and costly project. **Dave Decker** – Are you guys figuring that you will not use all of the monies available this year? **Philip Tate (395)** Our plan is to not waste money this year, and next year we want to hit the ground running. So we feel that if we cannot use the money wisely this year, then we would like that money to roll over to next year. **Dave Decker** – Because we are so late in the year. I would support that. **Philip Tate (395)** In regards to a ditching plan, this will not be an easy task We are going to start with the patch machine and access the ditching. **Dave Decker** – We have areas that we know are ditching issues and there may not be road issues and I think those are higher priority areas. I think I have a concern with that, which is the reason I ask for ditching plan. I think that the ditching should be done due to priority. I think Glenn should put a list together of the major ditching areas. **Todd Webb (123)** Before we can put a ditching plan together, such as driveway culvert pipe. As it stands now the driveway culvert pipe is required by the membership, I think that you will run into problems. Members are not going to want a ditch in their yard to begin with and if they have to replace the culvert pipe at their cost, I think you will have issues collecting. The driveway pipe is purchased first by the member and then it maintained by the association and that is a decision that we will need to make

and it needs to be addressed before ditching so that we can get together a budget. Will it be the homeowner cost or the association? Another issue in regards to Port Lane, the ditches were allowed to be filled in by the homeowner that is how it was. I think it needs to be in writing that once the ditch is established they are not to fill it in for any reason. I don't want a company in here to do all this work and the money be wasted by getting filled in. **Roger Rawson**- I agree with that, I think the association should take on the responsibility of replacement of the culverts because to my knowledge they are all on association property, ditches are also association property. Culverts and ditches also need to be cleaned. I think when a new house is built and the culvert is put in, it could be at association cost to the member, then if there are issues in the future that would be the association to handle that. **Todd Webb (123)** I agree with that. **Rich Hertel** – I think that a culvert should be a homeowner expense; I think it the responsibility of the homeowner and maintenance included. That is my personal opinion. **Glenn Dalton** – Take into consideration, this is the recommendation of the Road Committee, and this will go forward with the Building Committee as well because it could cause many rules to change moving forward. **Bob Lowrance**- they will need to figure a ditching budget and that will put us into next year. **Todd Webb (123)** the only ditching that would be addressed this year would be in-house ditching and it will be very minimal. **Roger Rawson** – Please clarify in-house ditching. **Todd Webb (123)** That would be done by the maintenance department and their backhoe. **Bob Lowrance** – We would do immediate need areas? **Todd Webb (123)** Correct, There will not be a lot of money allocated to this year ditching. **Dee Michaud (1585)** I was told that we had to put in a culvert when we moved in, it was no notice. I think that it would be beneficial so that I could plan for this expense. **Bob Lowrance**- That is something that the Building Committee will also look at. **Scott Webber (909)** We have 50 years of variances that have been granted and I am not sure how you would address this topic, not knowing what has and has not been granted. **Gerry Theodore (1346)** The board will have to make a decision on how those will be handled, there are many things that will need to be looked at, and there are some with disclaimers. The homeowner is required to put the first one in and from there on it would be the cost of the association or those that maintain the roads. Also there are many lots that do not have culverts, so there are many things to look at when doing this. You will need to make a decision for those with variance requests the more complicated it is the more troubles you will have with a budget. **Anthony Harold (565)** I just want to reiterate, what the Road Committee suggested to develop a plan that states who is responsible the homeowner or the association. I think that needs to be a policy decided on who is responsible. **Roger Rawson**- I had a water issue in the past and I had always taken care of it, then found out that it was association property. **Glenn Dalton**- we are aware of that and that is where some of the rules came out of and in this situation we did not have authority for ditching however we had the authority to dictate what was done in that area. **Gerry Theodore** – There is a tip of the iceberg and once you determine the depth of the ditch you can run into anything, people have put plastic pipes in and culverts, you are going to dig it up and make the homeowner angry. That is not talking about the utilities that you will run into. Whatever cost you put out for this you can expect to triple it. There are too many unknowns out there. **Bob Lowrance** – We have \$100,000 to put out there

for a project that should cost at least a million dollars. **Gerry Theodor-** I think the best solution is the special assessment where the entire project is designed and divide that by the number of people so that the project is complete. It is the only cost effective solution. **Roger Rawson** – I am glad to see that this is going somewhere with this. **Anthony Harold (565)** I think that the north end of Clover should be a high priority area. **Todd Webb (123)** We understand what is going on and what is required so we invite you to have a better understanding of what we are working with. You have asked many things and it is not that simple. We encourage you to join a committee meeting, we are getting down to crunch time and there has been no decision made and questions delay out 2 more weeks. **Bob Lowrance** – You have presented a plan for oil and chip. What do you suggest us to do to get you going? **Todd Webb-** I would say to get the patch machine going and start the chip sealing. \$6000 is going to get you 11 days of running that machine. We would like to have that done when the contractor comes out to chip/seal the roads. **Bob Lowrance-** So should we make a decision tonight, or do we have time to wait until after your meeting? **Todd Webb** – 2 Weeks will be fine. **Dave Decker** – Todd, you are not planning to do any hot patching until there is a plan to do chip/sealing? **Todd Webb-** We will have to come up with where we are chip/sealing. **Dave Decker** – the plan says that you will chip/ seal the level 3 roads. **Todd Webb-** That is correct, because those roads will be minimal chip/seal. Every road will still need bad spots that will need fixed. **Dave Decker -** How much money do you think you will use on operating the hot patch machine? **Todd Webb-** That is a tough question; we are not sure how far it will go on the roads, some being worse than others. It will cost \$550/per day to use the hot patch machine. We are using the \$6100 to do the Patching, we would like to use more money but we are only allocated at the time for the \$6100. **Dave Decker** – That is all that was allocated for patching, however if the committee thinks that more should be allocated then I think the board would entertain that thought. **Todd Webb** – We need to see how far we will get with this \$6100. We are waiting on the chip/seal plan.

Ice Cream Vendor

We are going to discuss that in executive session. **Pam Miabaum (2088)** – I would like to compliment you on the firework display it was amazing. I appreciate that the board has reversed that decision for the no solicitation. Has the board granted an exception to that no soliciting before? **Rich Hertel** – When I moved here in the 70's we had a vendors that came out all the time, I am not sure why it stopped, there were only about 250 families here then. **Pam Maibaum (2088)** – It is very concerning how the motion was worded, there was no mention of how things would be done differently in the future, what I heard you say Rich was, if we want to turn around and vote again we could, we are just going to stop this one now. As a member of the community I would like to see many things happen before an action would be taken. It seems this vendor was granted access immediately, I believe that the minutes from that meeting have not been published yet. We heard about this through social media. What due diligence responsibilities is the board going to take on? How will that change our relationship with them? Back Ground checks? Under the five reasons I do not believe that this can be discussed in executive session, we do not pay him, he does not pay us. He is just a solicitor. **Bob Lowrance -** He

will not be here tonight and we will not be discussing this vendor in executive session.

Pam Maibaum (2088) - How will keep the members up to date on the approval of who is to be out here? We want to make sure that we are not being accused of some sort of discrimination; right now there is no set of criteria of who is granted to be here and who is not. I think that opens us up to some liability issues. I appreciate that you have listened to the community. **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** – It also concerns me the way the motion was worded that you may at any time make another motion to allow a vendor in. I think that you do need to communicate with community; it is something we would like to see out here; however I myself am on the fence about it. I want to make sure that you all are putting someone out here that is safe. I am not walking away with the comfort feeling that issue has been resolved. How do I get the boards reassurance that it is resolved? **Bob Lowrance** – Earlier I had mentioned that we are going to proceed at this time with no solicitation, if we choose to look into a new vendor we would have some way of communicating to the members for their input. That being said, I am asking to take the help with the Communication Committee. **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** - I would be happy to help, we are looking at a tool to survey the community. How do we have the board assurance that in the future someone safe will be put into the community? **Bob Lowrance** - I am confident that I am speaking for the board when I say, we want to do what is best for the community no if's and's or but's. We will continue to listen and to move forward. **Rich Hertel**- If a vendor would check out and limit the days that a vendor could come out would you be against that? **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** I would be open to that as long as it was limited and there were parameters set. With a valid background check, I would be open to it. **Roger Rawson** – Until last year we were unable to do background checks. **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** – I think that you could get a background check anywhere. **Roger Rawson** - As far as the association getting it, it is a legal matter and those parameters were set last year. **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** - Are there background checks completed on the employee's that we are told are safe? Meaning our public safety employees? **Glenn Dalton** – No. **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** I am told as an association member that public safety is someone I should trust and you are telling me they have not had background checks. Thank you, they will no longer be allowed in my home. **Roger Rawson** - We didn't have the ability to do that but we should have this done. **Jennifer Halverson (1829)** You all have access to Money and the safety of the community. I believe that background checks should be top priority. **Pam Maibaum (2088)** - You should have hired someone to do these background checks. **Roger Rawson** - It took several months for the office to get certified. **Glenn Dalton**- There was a background required when looking at a vendor. As far as the staff we have not done so in the past. **Dave Decker** – To hire a company to do background checks they have to certify you so that the data you get is controlled. When we decided that we wanted to have that ability they actually came out and did a site inspection to make sure we had control in place before we could use this service. **Pam Maibaum (2088)** – It seems logical that the people we trust should have a back ground check. **Gerry Theodore (1346)** the only back ground checks that we have done was for the Lake Manager. I don't recall do them on any other employees. A background check is not a guarantee.

New Business

Truck Bids

Glenn Dalton – Bid from Jack Schmitt that price is indicated at \$29,955.89. The next bid is from Steve Schmitt and it is the same model truck for but it is a loaner for \$29,423. The third bid is from Webber Chevrolet \$30,900 with tax, title, and license. We can take the lower bid however it is a loaner. We recommend going with the new vehicle from Jack Schmitt and it is local. **Dave Decker** do we have any additional costs? **Glenn Dalton** – The only cost will be for the decals. We will take the lights off of the current vehicle and adjust to this one. We will install them. **Rich Hertel** – This is a paid up item in the reserves? **Glenn Dalton** – This is an item that is in the reserves for \$30,000. These bids do not include a trade-in. We do intend to trade our current vehicle in and that money will stay in the reserves. **Rich Hertel** – Is there any preference? **Glenn Dalton** – Our recommendation is to go with the Jack Schmitt bid for a brand new truck. We are just not sure about a loaner.

Roger Rawson – Makes a motion to accept a bid for the new truck from Jack Schmitt with the trade in of the current Public Safety truck. **Monte Thus** – Seconds

Motion Carries

ATV Bids

ATV Bids will be provided for the August meeting.

Association Lake Out-Lot Maintenance during Draw Down

Bob Lowrance - Are we going to work on those out lots during the draw down? **Glenn Dalton** - We did the evaluation last fall of the lots that we felt had poor maintenance, we are going to start stock piling rip rap and soil cloth to do the maintenance to do those lots. We have a good handle on what is required and will do our best to take care of those, and we will provide an association out lot report to the board at the next meeting.

Board of Directors Meeting Start Time

Bob Lowrance - Normally we start at 7:30 and what is the comments about moving the start time up to get these meeting wrapped up sooner. I'd like to see it moved to 6:30 possible 7:00pm. **Rich Hertel** – I would like to start a little earlier also. **Dave Decker** – I have no issue with the current start time. **Monte Thus** – There is nothing in writing of a particular start time? I would also like it to be 7:00 pm. **Roger Rawson** – The current board can appear to come here earlier, Dave is it an issue to get here earlier, and Darren isn't present. **Dave Decker** – I sometimes struggle to get here at 7:30pm. **Rich Hertel** – I think we should hold this over and wait for Darren Onwiler to be present. **Tony Harris (1223)** I recommend leaving it at 7:30, for those people who are working.

Member Comments Time Limit

Bob Lowrance- During the annual meeting I noticed that the member comments were limited. Should we limit the comments for regular meetings? **Roger Rawson** – There is nothing in writing. I am here to listen. **Bob Lowrance** – So we will leave it the way it is and there is no limit to member comments.

Open Floor

Dee Michaud (1585) Glenn, the last time we spoke about the north end, there is supposed to have some care over there. I haven't seen anything happen and it looks bad over there. Is any of this going to happen before we start on these roads? If I can get some information for the association on how we can beautify that area. Do we have any idea on how many homes in Holiday Shores that are in default? I know that legally we cannot go on to the property. **Rich Hertel** – Banks do take care of them and there may be a delay but they are required to keep up on the property. **Glenn Dalton** – The silt pit has all of the trees cut out of it. **Dee Michaud (1585)** - There has been no weed whacking done it needs a manicure. **Glenn Dalton** - There is still some work that needs to be done and I will meet with Dee tomorrow.

Motion to adjourn to Executive Session

Roger Rawson - Motions. **Rich Hertel** - Seconds.

Motion Carries

Meeting adjourned at 10:23 p.m.