

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Date: April 13th, 2016

Attendance

Board Members

Present: Bob Lowrance, Roger Rawson, Monte Thus, Rich Hertel, Jim Perotti, and Dave Decker

Absent: Darren Onwiler

Quorum Present: Yes

Others Present

Glenn Dalton, Rob Frey, and Brandy Pickett from the Holishor Office.

Holishor Members Present: 51

Proceedings

Meeting called to order at 7:30 PM

Pledge of Allegiance Recited

Members were asked to state their name and lot number before they speak.

Minutes of March 30th, 2016

Roger Rawson - Motions to approve as corrected. **Darren Onwiler** - Seconds.

Action: Motion carried.

Minutes of March 23rd, 2016

Roger Rawson- Motions to approve as corrected. **Rich Hertel** - Seconds.

Action: Motion carried.

Transfers of Property

There were 5 transfers of property and all of which triggered initiation fees.

Bills & Salaries

Dave Decker - Motions to approve as submitted. **Darren Onwiler**- Seconds.

Action: Motion carried

2016 BOD Candidates and Drawing for the Ballot

Status was read by **Jeannie Votruba Lot 493 of the Nominating Committee**.

Introduces the candidates that are running for the Board of Directors.

Names were drawn. The candidates will appear on the ballot in the following order:

Melinda Patterson

Michael Hawks

Shawn Diltz

Jerry Allan

Jeanne Abert-Martin

Treasury Report

Monte Thus - We're down to \$53,354 on the North Property loan and we're hopefully going to get most of that paid off by year end, if everybody pays their dues and we sell a couple properties. We also purchased a new 1 ton dump truck with snow plow. The North property during the month of March, we applied \$15,468, which gives us a balance of \$53,354. We also added \$10,000 that was carried over from 2015, we added that to the \$40,000 in the reserves to give us \$50,000 because when they started looking for a truck, the price of the trucks have gone through the ceiling, so we didn't have enough money to buy a new truck. With the excess money from last year, we were able to purchase a new dump truck with snow plow for \$47,177.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

The Finance Committee met Monday night and they reviewed the first quarter. According to the profit and loss, we're looking good. We collected probably three quarters of the money already for the income and we are at 30% for the expenditures for the year. We get the money in the beginning and we spend it the rest of the year. We're looking good there and like Bob said, we had an audit review there's a paragraph that I'll read at the annual meeting, but it basically says "we're looking pretty good". We got a couple minor things that we're going to come up with a procedure to maybe double check what we're doing to catch some mistakes. According to the auditors, we look good, our books are good and the staff's doing a good job in using QuickBooks and their procedures to keep us up to date.

Roger Rawson – Motions to approve the Treasury Report as submitted. **Dave Decker** – Seconds.

Action: Motion Carried

Profit Loss Budget

Information Only.

Manager's Report

Read by Glenn Dalton

Security Report

Read by Glenn Dalton

Total incidents 25, Total Citations 5, Vehicle Incidents 4, Animal Incidents 5, Property Incidents 5, Incidents Involving Persons 5, Alarm Responses 5 and other incidents 1.

Correspondence

Building Committee Report

Submitted by Chairmen Michael Parker

Read by Rich Hertel

The Building Committee cared for 15 items at the March 15, 2016 and April 5, 2016 meetings. The items we cared for are as follows; 4 refunds, 2 variances, 2 permit extensions in which one needs Board approval, 3 sheds, 2 fences and 2 pool permits.

Social Committee Request (Summer Jam)

Read by Justin Patterson, Lot 1656

The Social Committee submitted a letter to the Board of Directors asking permission to establish a no-wake zone in front of the Clubhouse for the Summer Jam event between the hours of 10:30 am until 6:30pm.

Roger Rawson – I think it's a good idea. It's a community wide social event and I think we can get a really good turnout. **Bob Lowrance**- I like the family friendly part. **Monte Thus** – Are all the activities going to be at the clubhouse? **Justin Patterson** – The activities will be at the clubhouse grounds, between the lake obviously the water out there and right out here in front of Ginger'z and pretty much everywhere behind the clubhouse here.

Monte Thus – There will be 8 hours of downtime on the lake, in the middle of the lake and that is kind of tough on the boaters that don't participate in those activities. Is there a way you can squeeze it half way over and let the other half be used by the boaters? **Justin Patterson** – Based on the recommendation of the security and Glenn with his experience of events like this in the past, we will obviously leave room for boats to get by out there at a no wake speed, obviously skiing that close to however many number of boats we end up with out there, the boats are going to end up rocking together and could end up injuring somebody, damaged property, so and so forth. So we think it's best to follow the same kind of no wake zone that we have for the ski club when they do their show. **Monte Thus** - But that's a pretty wide area there. **Justin Patterson** – It is but we expect attendance to be quite high, including an awful lot of boats. **Monte Thus** - Like all the boats that are going to be there for 4th of July fireworks? **Justin Patterson** – Good question. I've never been out to the clubhouse during the July fireworks. How many will attend, nobody's going to know until the day of the event, but in order to make sure everybody stays safe we want to make sure we're trying to provide **Monte Thus** - I guess my concern is, the 8 hours that the lake will be shut

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

down and I'm heavily involved with the ski club and we shut it down for 2 hours and you get a lot of complaints. **Justin Patterson**- I understand that. The ski show is a little bit shorter than this particular event will be and it is obviously 1 day out of 44 weekend days between May 1st and October 1st I don't think it's a whole lot to ask the community if we can avoid skiing, just in this area for that 1 day. **Darren Onwiler**- Monte, when we close it down for the ski show do we close it all together? Do you still allow boats to go across? **Glenn Dalton** – We do allow on the East side, they can idle through, that zone over there. **Darren Onwiler**- They can get from this side to that side? **Glenn Dalton** – Yes. They can ski up North, they can ski down South, but it's a no wake zone within the 75 foot buoy during the ski show. **Darren Onwiler**– but then again do we allow boats to go from... **Glenn Dalton** – From the North to the South, from the South to the North, within the 75 foot buoy. **Steve Manos 2082**- I know this is going to be a “family friendly event” and I get that and I think that's great but for me it's who's, I mean it sounds like security's already been notified and has been asked about it so what's the plan I guess? From a security standpoint, from an overall management standpoint, and is the DNR going to be out here? **Roger Rawson** – Well as far as social committee functions; is that what you're talking about? **Steve Manos** – Correct. **Roger Rawson** – Yes. Security's going to be here. Security's at each side of this to keep boats from coming through at wake speed. **Steve Manos** – Okay. **Bob Lowrance** – Yeah this is an association sponsored event. **Steve Manos** – Okay. **Bob Lowrance** – by the Social Committee so it's not individuals or anything, it's a social committee, which is part of the Holishor Association itself. **Justin Patterson, 1656** – We formed a Steering Committee, which involves, obviously security, Glenn and the rest of his staff, maintenance group, members of the social committee, and members of the businesses that are sponsoring the event. We decided that the Marina was probably not the best idea as far as a family friendly. Regarding an actual plan, specific details of where the boats are going to move and that sort of thing. I'm going to be working with Glenn on that directly to figure out the best way to position boats so they can be moored and anchored safely and still get around and people can traverse and get to shore. Details are going to be worked out. **Bob Lowrance** – Thanks, Justin. **Dawn Schmidt, 372** – As many of you may know I ran the last event that was out here and was the person ahead of the committee. I would just hope that you know that somewhere along that you are really looking hard into it. I know you spoke about my experience at the last event but I know with lifeguards being here, things like that we assume a lot more responsibility as an Association. When I did it at the Marina I remember something as small as having the conversation of just that. We were going to purchase just noodles and throwing them out to people. There were a lot of comments, “no don't do that because if we do that, then we're basically taking that responsibility of ensuring their safety” and so I was really targeting, we had signs done that said “Please be mindful of your trash” and “Use PFD” and had all these signs posted. So my fear is that having it here and having a lifeguard on duty, we're assuming more liability like you know we did have an incident here with a lifeguard on duty that has been quite pathetic for the association. I don't know if it's an option to consult with a lawyer if this is the event we want to have or all the particulars on it. The other thing I'm slightly concerned about is the event at the Marina, we didn't have to have security out here manning the lake to keep the lake from people running in and out, going too fast. Here, if our security boats are out doing that, do we have more security and boats that can help with the inner parts of the water. So, those are just kind of some of the safety issues, It was so much about the association's liability and by having it here, that's a big concern I have. **Bob Lowrance** – Thank you. **Pam Maibaum, 2088** – The concern for me is, I think the party sounds like a fantastic idea, but I think we need to make delineation between a wake and no wake zone. You can do anything you want in a no wake zone. You can park your boat and swim and none of that is an issue. This is and probably will be a very popular party, but that does not mean that all us that pay association fees for security and for everything that's going to need to be done that day, are going to participate in it. So, not only is it taking funds for an event which is part of what makes this a great place to live and I don't have any problem with that, but it also takes away usage of the lake. We only have so many weekends in the summer where we have the ability to enjoy the lake and I think if we brainstorm enough I think we can have a fantastic event without closing down a wake area and limiting skiers that day. We already have a swim zone. It's already roped off for safety. There isn't any reason why that's not where people can congregate and I don't know if not allowing people to tie up outside of 75 feet if that's going to make or break the event. That would be for the board to discuss. Thank you. **James Cunningham, 552** – I just have a question. You are blocking off 75 feet no wake area. **Glenn Dalton** – If you're within the blue and white buoys going down the East side of the lake that is a no wake zone. **James Cunningham, 552** – Okay. **Glenn Dalton** – That

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

is where people will traverse through, that is within that no wake zone. **James Cunningham, 552** – Okay, North or South of that though I'm aloud to tow a skier or a tuber... **Glenn Dalton** – We're going to have a set of buoys out here off this point, we're going to have a set of buoys out here off this point, those will be manned by public safety at each end. **James Cunningham 552** – Okay, then my question would be, on those buoys, you're going to have buoys, how far from the boats that are tied up or the swimmers will that area be? **Glenn Dalton** – our swimmers will not be anywhere in that area in the vicinity of the buoys. The swimmers and the boats will all be down here in the vicinity of our beach. **James Cunningham 552** –Well, my concern would be if I have a tuber or skier and say I'm at the South end of the lake, I come up and I come to the area that I can make a safe turn, my boat's going to throw a wake, that wake is going to travel and travel and travel, once it hits the swimmers, the floaters the... **Glenn Dalton** – That's why our buoy placement is going to be far enough down, where your wake is not going to impact the area out here. It's going to dissipate to the point where it's not a concern. **James Cunningham, 552** –I've dealt with safety for ski tournaments, and not here at the lake, but any little wake ripple you have in the water, you got boats tied up and they start to rock, you've got people in the water, they start to move, People are going to be drinking? Sorry, you're going to create a hazard. And there's going to be a lifeguard? One lifeguard? **Glenn Dalton** – We're going to have a full court press just like we do on the 4th of July. All of our public safety, all of our lifeguards, and we'll have a portion of our maintenance crew here. **James Cunningham, 552** – How many lifeguards? I'm just curious how many lifeguards for ? **Glenn Dalton** – I think we have 5 on staff. That's what we'll have. **James Cunningham, 552** – Okay they're expecting how many to participate? **Glenn Dalton** – Well he's never ran one. **James Cunningham, 552** – Oh, I know. **Glenn Dalton** – Dawn, what's the population that you have at these events? Is it 200? **Dawn Schmidt, 372** – I would guess we had between 150 to 200 boats roughly, several hundred people though. They could come out of the Marina by car, several hundred people for sure. **James Cunningham, 552** – So out of 5 lifeguards, how many can safely handle that many people/boats? **Glenn Dalton** – Lifeguards are not responsible for the area outside the swim ropes. **James Cunningham, 552** – But, it's going to be Holiday Shores Association sponsored event? That would be a concern of mine. That's just some points I had, that's all. **Glenn Dalton** – And anytime you swim outside of the swim ropes, and you swim in the lake, and we have people do it every day, you assume that responsibility for your safety. **James Cunningham, 552** – If somebody's hurt, run over by a boat, drowns outside of that area, then we, as the association, are not responsible for that? **Glenn Dalton** – Let's be very realistic, any type of incident that you have, can the association expect to be sued? Yes. **James Cunningham, 552** – Okay. I'm just concerned because it's sponsored by the Association. That's why I was bringing that up. **Steve Manos, 2082** – Is this to approve it or is it already kind of a done deal or moving forward? **Roger Rawson** – No. We're just in discussion. **Steve Manos, 2082** – Cause it sounds like we're. **Roger Rawson** – No, we have not made a motion to approve. **Steve Manos, 2082** – Okay. So, I guess for me on the alcohol side of things to put that in perspective, is the DNR planning on being out here? Or is it just going to be our security or we don't know yet? **Roger Rawson**– DNR comes and goes as they want. **Steve Manos, 2082** – Knowing this is well in advance and we got a date, is that something that we can reach out to the DNR for? **Glenn Dalton** – We already have, Steve. **Steve Manos, 2082** – You have? **Glenn Dalton** – Yes. **Steve Manos, 2082** – And what do they say? **Glenn Dalton** –If they're available, they'll be here. **Steve Manos, 2082** –is that Zachary French or Bob Libel or ... **Glenn Dalton** –It will be one of those two. We only have 2 officers for this whole area, including the Mississippi River. **Steve Manos, 2082** – Right, okay. **Bob Lowrance** – Okay, any other questions, comments, board, motions? **Pam Maibaum, 2088** –If we do have evidence, incidents, whatever of people maybe imbibing and then deciding to drive their boat home and IDNR is not here, how does the lake plan to handle boaters who appear to be incapable of properly driving their boats home? **Glenn Dalton** – We will stop them and we will ask them to take their boat back to their dock at no wake or we will have someone who is not intoxicated drive the boat back for them. Or we will have them beach the boat and let them walk home. **Bob Lowrance** – Just like we do every other day. **David Maibaum, 2088** – In contact with one of the IDNR's officers, Mr. Dalton's choice 1 of having the individual power back at no wake speed to their residence is not acceptable. Per the IDNR. **Roger Rawson** –we don't have a police force. We can't do toxicology reports, we don't have the authority to make that determination, whether they're intoxicated or not. So IDNR would be the only one to actually arrest somebody or do that type of thing. As far as I understand our capabilities, same as if somebody drives on our roads. **David Maibaum, 2088** –We abide by Illinois Department of Natural Resources Regulations. **Roger Rawson** – Well abiding by them and being able to

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

pull someone over and make a determination, we do not have that ability. **Bob Lowrance** – We’re not a police force. **Roger Rawson** - We have a public safety, not a security. If we had a security that was properly trained in those aspects then I understand. You know, we could. But at this point, we do not have that capability and that’s why you know if IDNR’s out here, you know, they can be called immediately if they’re on the lakeside. “Come over here” and “we suspect this person is intoxicated.” **Jim Perotti** –One thing that I haven’t heard in everybody talking about DNR, Madison County can also come out here. **Darren Onwiler** – No. They can’t. **Jim Perotti** – Oh, they won’t now. They used to. **David Maibaum 2088** – Darren, you are incorrect; I was told that Madison County’s sheriff department is able to detain the individual. **Darren Onwiler** – That is incorrect. **Pam Maibaum 2088**- There is not a proper procedure to handle those drunken boaters. I want something in place that will get these people home safely.

Conversation Ensues.

Mendi Patterson, 1656 – I am actually part of the Social Committee and we did look at a lot of different venues out here on the lake and there were suggestions of “Have it on the North end of the lake because it’s no wake down there” “Have it at the Marina” that’s been done before it’s not very kid friendly. The main reason we want to have it here is because we have power, we have restrooms, there is a beach and play area for kids and it is a different event. This is not Lake-a-palooza. This is a completely different event that we’re trying. We are going to have kid friendly events here and just like every weekend out on the water, parents are expected to watch their children and are expected to control themselves in a manner that they should while out on the lake. If they get out of control, I can’t really help that. And yes it is a huge liability on the Association. This is a huge undertaking. It involves a lot of sponsors, a lot of money, a lot of volunteers and a lot of commitment. **Dawn Schmidt, 372** – Lake-a-palooza was a Social Committee event each time at the Marina, we just utilized your facilities there and of course the Social Committee’s always been an extension of the board, so it was a board function. That’s why I caught a lot of flack in making sure it was safe. “No you can’t do this because we can’t assume the liability” things like that. Just one suggestion in hearing all this that we never thought about, but a way, because I’m a boater and I’m going to want to come by boat. It might be best not to shut down the lake to encourage people to come by land, still enjoy the same concept of it, but if I’m going to anchor my boat out, I’m going to anchor it in a way .You know what I’m saying, like if I do at the ski show and I don’t want to come by land, I anchor across the cove, you still get to enjoy the sound and things like that. Just a suggestion. **Bob Lowrance** – Thank you. **James Cunningham, 552** – Has this been budgeted for the extra security or is the Social Committee paying for the extra man hours? **Glenn Dalton** – It’s coming out of my payroll. We were not aware of it until the Social Committee brought it to us this year. It was not submitted in the budget for the budget committee to approve it. I manage the budget with the hours that I have. **James Cunningham, 552** – Is the Social Committee going to reimburse the Association in any way for the additional expenses? **Rich Hertel** – It looks like to my knowledge that all we’re talking about is security expense. I doubt if they will be sitting in the cove the whole time. **Justin Patterson, 1656** – All of the safety concerns that you guys have brought up we have talked about in both the social committee and the steering committee. We understand that people are going to drink alcohol. We cannot control whether or not they do. Just to touch on what some of the board said, if you bring your kids out here, you need to take care of them. If you’re going to be drinking alcohol, you should have a designated driver for your boat. Myself, I’ll probably be on land most of the time, but I’m sure they’ll be a designated driver on my boat. We can’t control what people choose to do and what not to do. We can just try to provide the safest environment that we can. And between Glenn and myself, the security guys and maintenance and everybody on the steering committee we’re going to try to curtail that as much as possible and as far as not having a no wake zone, I think the people that would still anchor out there in the wake area. I think that would put them in more danger. **Bob Lowrance** – Thanks Justin. **Dave Decker**-So I’ve got a few comments... I guess the one thing that struck me in all the comments is when Mendi said “this is a huge liability and We know that”. When you’re talking about the people that are putting in on, talking about how big of a liability it is, that throws major red flags in my head. I’ve been to a few Lake-a-paloozas and this is basically the same thing, just moved to a new place and called something else. I’m not buying the rebranding. What you’re going to have is the exact same thing that happened down there. This letter is the first time that I, as a board member, ever saw or heard anything about this other than a conversation that Justin and I had at the Easter Egg Hunt. And he stated “I

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

have no idea what the security plan is, I have no idea with how we're going to handle the beach, the volume of people that we're going to have in that swim area and are we not going to let people swim in the area next to it, which is the standard pretty much today. I am just highly concerned about the liability." And somebody used the term "drunkfest". It's going to be a significant number of people, on boats, drinking heavily and you will have a ton of people moving in and out. If you don't think it's going to be Lake-a-palooza, you guys need to wake up. **Rich Hertel** – Dave, and I respect your opinion, but this a community event out here and the Social Committee is requesting this. If we take the fearful act of saying I don't feel comfortable doing this then we're really setting a precedent with any sponsored event that any committee within Holiday Shores may want to do. **Dave Decker-** Absolutely. **Rich Hertel** – It'll be "No" and the only thing we'll ever have out here would be 4th of July and that's it. Is that what I'm hearing you say? **Dave Decker-** No, I completely disagree, with that. You're talking about putting on a major event, where you know ahead of time that there's going to be significant safety problems and concerns. That's what I'm talking about. The comparisons that were mentioned earlier, 4th of July, that's a different situation. You're not putting on an event where everyone is out here doing this. All you're doing is putting on the fireworks, people go to it, they watch it and they go back. We're not talking about an 8 hour event in the middle of the day where you're going to have people with coolers full of stuff drinking. If you're going to deny that, you need to wake up, it's going to happen. **Rich Hertel** - It's rather sad that this place is getting perceived as a drunken brawl every time anyone goes out on our lake with a bunch of people. I really hate that thought getting out because we have old and young here and a very bad picture is getting painted of this development here. **Dave Decker** – The amount of liability that is put on this Association with this type of event is huge, I was glad when this type of event was canceled. It is a dangerous situation. **Keith Schulz 914-** I am all for this event as a beach party, take out the no wake zone. Conversation Ensues...

Bob Lowrance – We've had a lot of comments and concerns and a lot of input from the association members. Is this something we want to go ahead and vote on, take a look at, motion, not motion, etc? **Roger Rawson** - I think I'd like to get some more information about all the events that are scheduled and maybe even consider scaling it back to, instead of 8 hours, maybe 4 or 6 hours and maybe having the no wake zone closer to the clubhouse so there is a wake zone out, so boats can proceed up and down the lake and more details of everything and try to get this event going. **Bob Lowrance** – Justin what it looks like from the board is that we're probably not going to get a motion right now and probably, if you would, go back with the social committee and revisit and see what else you can do or see what concerns you can address from tonight and resubmit to us please. **Justin Patterson, 1656** – Will do.

Bankruptcy Write-Off

Bob Lowrance – We have a bankruptcy write off of an individual that owed us \$6570.30.

Rich Hertel – Motions to write off the submittal. **Roger Rawson**– Seconds

Action: Motion Carried

Bankruptcy Write-Off

Bob Lowrance – We have a bankruptcy write off of an individual that owed us \$15,307.78.

Rich Hertel– Motions to write off the submittal. **Monte Thus**– Seconds

Action: Motion Carried

Letter from Cindy & Steve Manos 2082 (Point Fest)

Steve Manos 2082 – I think my letter echoes some of the things that have been talked about and a similar event to the Summer Jam. This event takes place in our cove. Our concerns are from navigation, alcohol consumption and a safety standpoint and the communication between the people who reside in that cove. The letter I sent "is the Association aware of point fest?" The cove is a wake zone and there were a lot of boats and you could not get through the mouth of our bay. We called security and saw them come out, drive around and leave. From my stand point, if you want to throw a party that is great, how do we manage it? How do we make sure it is safe? Is this something we can all do? **Bob Lowrance** – This was a private party on private property. Any of us can do that.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

There were a lot of people and a lot of boats. **Steve Manos 2028** – This was a huge party posted everywhere. **Bob Lowrance** – When there are concerns like that you should call the office. **Steve Manos 2028** – We did call security and they did nothing. **Roger Rawson** – That is not true, I was there a majority of that day with my boat. I came and went as I pleased. I saw people coming and going all day long. As you stated the cove was blocked and that is not true. People are allowed to anchor in the lake where ever they choose. Security did come over and they did not find an issue with people not being able to get through. As far as people being inebriated, that is a personal responsibility and that is dangerous and you would need to watch out for that. If someone came through at 40 miles an hour, that is a road rage thing and they would be responsible for what they do. **Steve Manos 2028** – I want to be clear that we as residents can anchor our boats anywhere in the lake that we wish? **Glenn Dalton** – We were not aware of Point Fest last year and yes, it was looked at. What Roger stated was correct, we have no rule that says you cannot anchor anywhere you want in this lake. At some point it can be a hazard to navigation. That is the proactive approach that we are going to take. If you are in the middle of a wake area that is heavily routed and you anchor, putting swimmers out we are not going to permit that. In this event we have talked with the people involved and think we have their concurrence on this. We try to make things safer and yes we learned from it last year. We are addressing it this year. **Bob Lowrance** – People can do what they like on their own property. If there are problems with safety we can call public safety and have them addressed. **Steve Manos** – I am not saying that I do not want them to have these parties, all I am saying is I want to be proactive and addressing the issues that we had last year. **Pam Maibaum 2088** - I think it is a slippery slope when we say that you can have a party on private property. When I have a party I make sure that I can fit those invited on my property. That is not what is happening here. I think that we all have a buffer around our lake lot, which is a 75 foot no wake zone, and you can do whatever you want. When you move out in to a wake zone I think you are crossing a barrier to become an issue on this lake. Someone can have one of these parties every weekend and as long as you can get by the association is going to allow it to happen. This party has their own Facebook page and this is not private. **Bob Lowrance** – That is not public. **Pam Maibaum 2088** – I disagree, there are public pages on Facebook and there are private pages. I believe there are over 4000 followers of this Facebook page. I would like to read the invite. “Save the date. July 9th 2016 Residents of Holiday Shores, Bigger and better than last year. Announcements will be made closer to the end of May. We will be reaching out to sponsors in the weeks to come and we will be changing a few things that will help offset the entertainment expenses. Stay tuned you will not want to miss this fabulous just for fun time.” There is no effort being made to manage this. They are opening it up to anyone they want. We can’t allow that, it is one party that affected 61 lot owners in our cove. I hear you Roger, it was not blocked for the entire duration of the party, but at certain points throughout the day it was blocked. I also experienced it. In our boating rules, all watercraft operated on Holiday Association lakes must adhere to and comply with the state and federal laws as stated in the Illinois boat registration and safety act. Interference with navigation: no person shall operate any watercraft in a matter which unreasonably or unnecessary interferes with other watercraft or with the proper navigation of water ways of the state. Anchoring under bridges or in heavily travelled channels constitutes such interference unreasonable under the prevailing circumstances. The homeowners in our cove believe that this is such circumstance. For the successful neighborly relationship, you have to stay within the boundaries of your property. That is the reason for all the comments on the Summer Jam, next year there could be ten of these events. We as dues paying residents are going to be limited to certain sections of the lake throughout the summer. Go and have your parties, but keep it within IDNR rules. **Roger Rawson** – Glenn said that he would manage that. **Pam Maibaum** – I don’t believe that is possible. You do not know who is coming to these events. **Rich Hertel** – Pam, what is it that you are wanting? **Pam Maibaum** – I want them to have their party and stay within the 75 feet. I would like to hear Glenn’s plan? **Glenn Dalton** – You just read that, they must be within 75 feet as a swimmer. Conversation Ensues...

Letter from Pam Maibaum 2088 with Respect to Noise Rule

Dave Maibaum 2088- March 29th, I sent a letter to the Board of Directors regarding an issue with the handling of noise issues. The suggestion was made for an excessive noise rule. Further review, in our covenants under restrictions, section four, the obnoxious or offensive trade or activity shall not be permitted on any lot, nor shall

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

anything be done thereon which shall or become a nuisance to the neighborhood. As part of my letter, I am withdrawing a noise rule proposal as it is already covered by our covenants. In addition to its being addressed to our covenants we have a penalty phase, for the violation of said covenants as exemplary with excessive dog noise or unattended vehicles. Currently we would request that the covenants and the fine structure be enforced and when it is brought to the attention of the community that it be handled and written up accordingly. **Pam Maibaum 2088**- This issue came up because we did call security to have them recently handle an issue where loud vulgar music was played. I was told that our security does not have the power to handle noise complaints and they told me I would have to call the sheriff's office. It seems that our security team does not believe they have the ability to write citations for noise complaints. **Dawn Schmitt 372**- I have a loud radio and security has a measuring device. When I put my radio in they actually measured it so I would know what was appropriate for the lake. **Pam Maibaum 2088**- When security came out last August he used a decimal meter and nowhere in our documents does it say that is the proper measuring tool. The only time that 75 decibels is mentioned in our documents it refers to noise on the lake. When looking at Madison County's noise ordinance, there is no measurement. We have had several incidents with a neighbor and have contacted them as well as security and the sheriff. I need additional assistance from our public safety to write citations so that I do not go round and round with these neighbors. **Glenn Dalton** - We do write citations of that nature. No there is no rule that indicates our noise rule. I use paragraph five, it does not say anything about noise, it says obnoxious offensive trade or activity which shall not be permitted on any lot nor shall anything be done therein which shall become an annoyance to the neighborhood. I have no way other than that to address. I use a decimal meter. When looking at a noise incident, what someone may think is loud I may think it is different and that is why I use the decimal meter. I take the subjectivity out of it. Darren and I have talked about this and will be addressing this with the Public Safety committee. **Pam Maibaum 2088**- I appreciate that the committee will take a look at this. The association manager is able to write citations, but it also says that the public safety officer is also allowed to write them. This person that I have all the issues with is 70 yards away; I have a serious issue with this. **Dawn Schmitt 372**- I appreciate that we have a measurement or device that we keep in place. I encourage you all on the safety committee to keep up with the parameters. I do not like the idea of someone determining what they would find to be a nuisance. I like the fact that there is a guideline to follow. This was addressed a few years ago when noise was an issue and that is where the 75 decibels was come up with and that is what was followed. When we talk about nuisance and playing that game I have people who drive their boat up and down the lake at 10pm talking in their boat and I can hear it, you have fishermen in the morning who are talking to each other, and technically that could be considered a nuisance. There is no end to this and I would like to see parameters. I appreciate it and I encourage the committee to keep that in place. **Darren Onwiler** - When there is a noise violation at Madison County, the complaint is filed on her behalf there is a complaint and it is very broad. It is something that you find offensive with the noise that enters your property. It is basically on the complainant to go to court to determine and explain to the judge to tell them why you find that offensive. You always have the option to contact county. I understand why Glenn uses the decimal meter; it takes the subjectivity out of it. This is one of a couple of the issues that will be addressed at the next committee meeting. **Glenn Dalton** - We do not want the neighbor on neighbor, which is why we like to be called.

Ginger's Cost Share Proposal

Bob Lowrance - Basically they are saying with the excessive heat issue in the Kitchen due to the HVAC and duct work not working properly, they decided to take out the brick pizza ovens and replace with electric ones which would reduce the heat in the kitchen and reduce the HVAC issues. They would like consideration for a reimbursement for the proactive action that they have taken to reduce the HVAC issues. **Rich Hertel**- Are they wanting us to share the cost? **Bob Lowrance** - They would like us to consider reimbursement. **Glenn Dalton** - They removed the brick pizza ovens and reduced the heat. **Roger Rawson** - Did they operate before tonight? How is the heat in the kitchen? **Glenn Dalton** - they have been test cooking and the heat seems to have been reduced significantly. **Roger Rawson** - For the last year I have been trying to get a handle on the air conditioning situation. The way it was designed, it is two units and causes it too cold in seating area and the kitchen situation to be high heat. They purchased a lot of the old equipment and we have gotten rid of some liability. I would be interested in

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

some reimbursement. **Glenn Dalton** – Our service went from gas to electric in the restaurant. **Darren Onwiler** – I understand it may have been needed, but it is their responsibility. I would be in favor of some reimbursement. **Rich Hertel** – I would consider 50%. **Roger Rawson** – I feel we got rid of some future expense by getting rid of the restaurant equipment. **Bob Lowrance** – For those that do not know, in the past we leased the restaurant with the equipment. We as a Board decided we wanted to lease real-estate only and sold the equipment to Ginger's. **Monte Thus** – I think that it would have cost us more to keep the old pizza ovens. There was a larger cost and looking at the budget, we had \$3000 budgeted and \$0 spent. I think we would have the money to pay at least half of it. **Tony Harris** – Do they pay for their own utilities? **Roger Rawson** – They do pay their utilities. If the whole system met its life expectancy than we would incur that cost. **Dave Decker** – We all acknowledge that it is their responsibility for them to pay for it. **Dave Decker** – I understand that they made changes at their choice. Therefore it is their responsibility. **Monte Thus** – It is give and take. **Dave Decker** – So are we saying that because of this, we are not going to make any changes to the air conditioning and heating system? **Glenn Dalton** – That is our current position. **Dave Decker** – Are the issues with the heating and air conditioning resolved? **Glenn Dalton** – We are waiting, but with the test cooking it seems to be fine. Balancing the hood is an issue we are still looking into and that is our responsibility. Conversation Ensues...

Rich Hertel – Motions to pay half of the expense for the electrical service for the new ovens not to exceed \$445.00

Monte Thus – Seconds

All in Favor – Monte Thus, Rich Hertel, and Jim Perotti

All Opposed – Darren Onwiler and Dave Decker

No Vote: Roger Rawson

Action: Motion Carries

Winchester Request (Greco Rental)

Michelle Winchester 452 – We had a wedding in February and our deposit was withheld, stating that we did not clean to the requirements. We were instructed by the security guard to clean up our stuff and have it out of there and they would clean the next day. We did what we were told and had never signed a contract. I feel that I did what was instructed and that I deserve my deposit back. **Glenn Dalton** – I have given the Board a description of that and I know that a copy of the contract is given back to those who rent our ballroom. This was a particular instance where it was booked under the Winchesters and then Greco rented it for them, however this contract is not signed and we did not keep the signed contract by the Winchesters. **Rich Hertel** – We do not have the original contract? **Glenn Dalton** – No, we do not. **Darren Onwiler** – My question is for Tom, you have rented the facility several times? **Tom Greco** – Yes. **Darren Onwiler** – During those rentals, you were aware of the cleaning requirements? **Tom Greco** – No sir, I have rented the room for people. I have never cleaned it myself. I did not clean for this rental either, they cleaned it. **Dave Decker** – You trashed the place. I guess you believe that the way this was left is acceptable. **Tom Greco** – I don't think this is acceptable, but they did what they were instructed by security and there was a cleaning fee of \$75 that was paid. **Dave Decker** – You believe that \$75 was acceptable to clean this. **Tom Greco** – There was no contract signed, so I am not sure what needed to be cleaned. **Dave Decker** – If there was no contract we can charge what we want. **Darren Onwiler** – We volunteer our time to sit up here for the betterment of the community. Leaving the facility in this condition is in no way acceptable and for anyone to leave it in this condition there is no way that I would refund the \$250.00. **Michelle Winchester** – We were not trying to leave the place trashed, we did what the security guard asked us to pick up. **Kyle Winchester** – I would have been happy to come back and clean up if it was not to satisfactory, with a simple phone call. **Glenn Dalton** – There was an additional charge of \$250.00 on top of the cleaning fee for this event. **Darren Onwiler** – I would like to read this into the minute of what the cleaning crew had to do. It took 4 hours to clean, 1 and ½ hours to hand broom carpets due to water beads, gummi bears, Hershey kisses, and etc. 1 hour of vacuuming the carpets and 1 and ½ hours to mop the dance floor and clean the restrooms. It took an additional 3 people to wipe down beer and clean tables and return to storage. 2 Maintenance guys spent an hour the following day cleaning caramel and putty from the carpets and removing crayon marks from the dance floor. **Cindy Manos** – I can speak to this issue, there was a call two days later from when I borrowed the room for an art event. There was confusion, the office said to leave in the same condition as I found it. We did 45 minutes of clean up for an event of a couple hours. On a Sunday there

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

was another event and security did not check the ballroom before the next event. What is the procedure and who comes into check the event before and after? If there are told by someone representing Holishor, what are we supposed to go by? **Roger Rawson** – I think the money collected that was spent to clean the ballroom was justified. **Bob Lowrance** – With no motion you will forfeit your refund.

Variance Marina Docks -

Glenn Dalton – I talked to Bob who is in Florida. John did not fill out a variance request. The Building Committee can approve this. The final plans are in front of the Board and it will require a variance because it exceeds the 20%. It does meet the specifications that we told them that we would consider. **Dave Decker** – I believe that we wouldn't consider anything bigger than that. **Glenn Dalton**- That is correct.

Conversation Ensues with plan description of the docks.

Darren Onwiler – I have a concern with Docks A and B. If they will come out 76 feet, the nearby homeowner may have an obstructed view. **John Keyeser 100** – We need the dock space. **Darren Onwiler** – We do need more dock space, but you cannot do that at the expense of someone else. It is great that the family is willing to invest to improve things out here. **Gerry Theodore 1346**- Knowing John and the conversation, the Building Committee can only give you a recommendation, I think all things that are handled with the Marina have to be handled by the Board. I think that you would even have to approve the Building Permit. **Darren Onwiler** – Tonight is your go or no go night? **John Keyeser100** – This Company has to commit and if it gets pushed back then it would roll in to next season. **Roger Rawson** – Since I do not totally understand each component, we could reconvene and meet to get a better understanding of this. **Gerry Theodore** – If you get a couple Board Members and a building guy to go with you you could get a verbal agreement. **Joe Roth 1030** – I ask the Board to ask them to put a sign up with a time limit on the courtesy dock. **Rich Hertel** – We are concerned about the home view of the dock. **John Keyeser 100**- The docks would come out a little further and I do not think that it will be a big issue. **Rich Hertel** – I do not mind going out to look at this. **Darren Onwiler** – I could also go take a look. **Rich Hertel** - If the distance is acceptable, is that the only set back on getting an approval for this? **Dave Decker** – I think that is the basic question. You are creating a variance for a dock to stick out 70 something feet into the lake. I agree with Darrens concern of the impact to the property owner. **Rich Hertel** – If the view is acceptable, would that solve the problem? Dock C seems to be not an issue. The courtesy dock, I am concerned it is shifting toward the ramp. Dock E, I have no issue with. It is basically being replaced. Dock D is a bit of a concern because of the choke point. **Rich Hertel** – How do we want to handle this? **Darren Onwiler** – This needs to be clear. Let's meet with them and then we can call a meeting. **Bob Lowrance** – John, we are going to have you meet with Rich and Darren and the Building Committee. We can call a special meeting after they get back with us and hopefully that will still meet the timeline. Tomorrow 3:30 at the Marina.

Old Business

Lot Signs

Alan Campbell – The last time we met we went through the rule rule and waiting on the community comments for the Lot Signs. We have had it posted on the website. It is a 6x8 sign with the lot number and a code that can be scanned for information. It is gone through the 60 day period, and has been posted since February 18th, 2016.

Brandy Pickett – The comments I have seen are about costs and where they can be purchased. **Alan Campbell** – This is a voluntary program and we believe that it will be good for the community. **Darren Onwiler** – We currently have a property owner who wants to sell a lot we allow them to place a lot number sign to identify? **Glenn Dalton** – Yes, he can put a sign with an identification number only. **Darren Onwiler** – This is basically the same thing along with a Q-Code. We already allow them for the identification number, this would give a better way to display those lot numbers and I would support this. **Monte Thus** – This sign is small in size and all lots can have an identification marker, this is kind of out of site. **Rich Hertel** – What is next? Cars, Boats, Lawn Mowers? **Darren Onwiler** – If you see that you can call security and report it. I think this is in fashion with what they were intending when they put that rule in place.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Monte Thus – Motions to approve what the committee has come up with on the Lot Signs. **Roger Rawson** – Seconds

Dave Decker – We do not have the rule in front of us to vote on. I like the idea and if I am going to vote on a rule, I would like it to be in front of me. **Alan Campbell** – We provided this at the last meeting. **Dave Decker** – It has been slightly revised. **Roger Rawson** – I will withdraw his second, until there is a rule in front of us. **Bob Lowrance** – We will hold off until the next meeting to vote on.

20ft. Dock Rule Change

Bob Lowrance – This is still in the process of the rule rule and if anyone would like to comment on it please do so. It is placed on our Facebook page and on the Website.

1995 Truck Sealed Bids

Bob Lowrance – We've got 2 bids now and this is a truck we've had. A 1995 Pick-up truck, we placed in the Holiday Times and put it up for sealed bids. We have until May 11th. Just giving an update and if anyone else want to bid on it, feel free to.

Village Investigation Committee Update

Bob Lowrance – with respect to the committee, there have been a lot of discussions. The committee is looking at incorporating an area of 8 square miles and Holiday Shores falls within those 8 square miles. We are not planning on just incorporating Holiday Shores; it will be a part of a larger village. We are not looking at changing anything to the Association. Everything will remain the same. They will not even levy a real estate tax in the first year. There will not be any new real estate taxes, there will be no change in the assessments, there will be no change in our subdivision, and there will be no change in our association. The only change will be a new seven person Board, and that Board will represent a larger area which will be the Village of Holiday Shores. We will get a million dollars to spend on whatever they vote to spend it on. Again, these are just recommendations. The other recommendation is that we will turn our roads over to the new village, and in return they would take care of the maintenance while becoming public roads. **Dave Decker** – What is the next action items for the committee? **Bob Lowrance** – The next action items, they are still having meetings and they will do a presentation at the Annual Meeting, based upon that meeting and the vote to move forward as an association to explore setting up a village. If we get the 51% majority vote we will move forward using association funds to further explore. We have a motion and something on the Annual Meeting that says if we do move forward we go through CICA which is 2/3's vote. Although there is still some discussion among independent groups other than the Village Incorporation Committee here whether or not that goes forward without the 2/3's, again that is another different independent committee who is looking at that, not a Holishor Association official committee. **Dave Decker** – There is another Non-Holiday Shores committee looking at getting this on the November Ballot regardless of what Holiday Shores decides? **Bob Lowrance** – That has been told to me, yes. **Darren Onwiler** – When you say committee, are you saying that there are people outside of Holiday Shores? **Bob Lowrance** – I am not sure who is on that committee. **Glenn Dalton** – Lets not say committee. **Bob Lowrance** – It is a group of individuals that are talking about this. **Joe Roth 1030** – I would feel more comfortable about this, if the Board would not make anyone a member that is not in Holiday Shores. This makes me nervous; I am concerned that future boards can make outside people a member. **Glenn Dalton** – The association will still be here and still have rules and regulations. Classes of membership are covered. **Tom Hough 75** – I understand the desire for a million dollars and there are some inconsistencies. Who do I contact for some answers? **Bob Lowrance** – This Board will stay intact and nothing here will change. Beyond that there will be a Village Board. I will be happy to answer questions for you and I can put you in touch with the committee. **Gerry Theodore 1346** – I am not speaking on behalf of any committee, so I can give you a couple answers. A taxing district can raise for general funds without a vote of population by .25%. The total tax that you are paying is more around 6%. That will give you an Idea of immediate tax for the near future. When you start this do not count on a reduction of assessments immediately. You may see many years before you would see an increase. There is a group

Holiday Shores Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

of us working for that committee and there are a lot of things to speak about. No one that I have talked to is interested in lessening the Association. I agree with Tom that this is the information that needs to be out there for our members. Holiday Shores will be a larger part of this village, because without the population of Holiday Shores we would not even be looking at this incorporation. People are still weighing the negatives and the positives. **Bob Lowrance** – Remember that the new board and our association will be primarily elected by Holiday Shores. **Gerry Theodore** – There are some of us ex-presidents that have gotten involved and we feel the transition process should change some of the bylaws. All those changes will be part of the transition. We are trying to get the information out with the pros and cons for the membership. **Roger Rawson** – The association would lose a big chunk of cost would transfer to the village and then we could lower the association cost.
Conversation Ensues...

New Business

589 Westview Drive, 2nd Extension

Bob Lowrance – They are looking at a second extension on the property due to set backs. **Roger Rawson** – I drove by and it looks as if the outside has been complete except for the yard. **Brandy Pickett** – Their 2nd Extension will expire May 5th. They're already past their 1st one. Their culverts are still not finished. He (Rob) has a whole bunch of pictures here of things that are not complete. **Dave Decker**- So they're already past their 1st extension here? **Brandy Pickett** – Yes they are. **Dave Decker**- This request is for their 2nd Extension? **Brandy Pickett** – Yes. **Glenn Dalton** – This is the one the board must approve, the building committee cannot approve the 2nd extension, and only the board can. **Dave Decker**- But they're already a month late in requesting it. **Brandy Pickett** – Yes, and we've tried contacting them. They finally came into the office when I called and left them a message telling them that they would forfeit a refund. **Roger Rawson** – When looking at this the reason is the same as the first extension. It looks as if they have used a piece of pvc pipe for their culvert under their driveway. **Glenn Dalton** – Another issue will be their down spouts that must also be corrected. **Roger Rawson** – They are a month past their first extension. **Dave Decker** – The deposit is \$4000 and potential refund of \$3000 and they have already paid \$400 out of that for the 1st extension. **Brandy Pickett** – They will have to come in for a variance for the culvert, it will not be complete. We have tried getting in contact with them many times to get their extension request into the Board. **Dave Decker** – With those comments I have no desire to grant them an extension.

No Motions.

Holiday Shores Logo

Laura Saturro, 1716 - We would like to have the board's permission to develop some guidelines to have a contest to create a new Holiday Shores logo. There's nothing wrong with the current logo, it's not broken, it doesn't need an extension, and it just needs updating. **Bob Lowrance** – Something fresh. **Laura Saturro, 1716** - Something fresh, something to help brand the community, something for marketing reasons, shirts and such. We could accept multiple logos at different times for different purposes. And you don't know what you're going to get when you run a contest. It's also up to the board. **Bob Lowrance** – I think that's a neat idea. **Laura Saturro, 1716** - I also wanted to update the board that we were looking at digital marques and the cost is just so that high that we believe it's prohibitive. We're going to put that on the back burner. So if we can have your permission to go forward and develop guidelines and present a package to you of what we would like to do to get a fresh logo. **Rich Hertel** – Are the logos approved by us? **Roger Rawson** - Yes. **Laura Saturro, 1716** –There was a contest for our 50th anniversary logo, so we're going to try to work something similar to that. **Dave Decker** - Motions to approve the new logo design contest. **Roger Rawson** – Seconds
Action: Motion Carries

Open Floor

Dave Decker – Motions to adjourn to executive session. **Rich Hertel** – Seconds

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Action: Motion Carries

**Meeting adjourned at 11:42 p.m. to Executive Session.
Meeting minutes submitted by Rich Hertel.**