

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Date: December 14, 2016

Attendance

Board Members

Present: Bob Lowrance, Monte Thus, Shaun Diltz, Dave Decker, Jeanne Abert-Martin and Justin Patterson.

Excused: Roger Rawson

Quorum Present: Yes

Others Present

Glenn Dalton, Rob Frey, and Misty Soliben from the Holishor Office.

Holishor Members Present: 27

Proceedings

Meeting called to order at 7:30 PM

Pledge of Allegiance Recited

Minutes of November 9, 2016

Dave Decker - Motions to approve minutes as amended. **Monte Thus** - Seconds

All in Favor.

Action: Motion Carries.

Transfers of Property

There were 8 transfers of property, 4 triggered initiation fees.

Bills & Salaries

Dave Decker - Motions to approve as submitted. **Monte Thus** - Seconds.

All in Favor.

Action: Motion carried.

Treasury Report

Monte Thus - The motion was made last meeting to transfer the funds from Cedars to the ICS account. That has been completed. We have also established a second ICS account for General operations. We are doing all of this to comply with the FDIC insurance requirements of the Board. This is to make sure that our funds are spread out to multiple Banks keep our balance below \$250,000, so that they are FDIC insured. Also, at the last few meetings we have been talking about CD laddering, to take the money that is in the reserves and put it into multiple CD's to raise the amount of interest that we are currently receiving. We have been waiting for the interest rates to go up, so within the next few weeks we will be taking this money and putting it into CDs.

Dave Decker - Motions to approve as submitted. **Shaun Diltz** - Seconds.

Action: Motion carried.

Profit Loss Budget

Information Only.

Manager's Report

Read by Glenn Dalton

Public Safety Report for April & May

Read by Glenn Dalton

Correspondence

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Boat Parking in Spanish Cove

Letter from Lot 1225

Read by Bob Lowrance

"I read in a past issue of the Times that there have been issues with docking at Spanish Cove and those that docked there would be interviewed to discuss how it could be handled. I built my home at Holiday Shores in 1991 and lives on the lake until I became ill. I am now required to wear oxygen 24/7 and my walking distance is limited. In 2010 it became difficult to maintain the house and large lot so I purchased a smaller home and lot but remained at Holiday Shores where I feel comfortable and secure. This required me to find a place to dock my boat. Spanish Cove was the most convenient and had a manageable walking distance for me to and from the boat.

When I first started using Spanish Cove, I was told that docking there was on a first-come-first-serve basis, and there were some individuals who docked there every year in the same spot and no one took their spot. Everyone respected each other's spot, realizing that it was not the official rules, but that was the way it had always been handled. I started docking there 7 years ago and every year after in the same spot up until last year when everything became congested.

I don't personally have the solution in order to make it fair for everyone. However, I do know someone like myself, and I'm certain there are others, need assistance from the board in order to enable us to continue enjoying the lake and fishing (the reason I moved here many years ago) even though we may have limitations. It could be a dangerous situation for me if I returned to shore to discover there was no docking space available.

In addition, I will be running into a problem this coming spring as the steering cable broke on my pontoon when taking it off the lake for storage. It is now tarped for the winter and is to be repaired in March. The shop advised it will take some time to repair. I certainly hope it is repaired before all the spots are filled.

My request would be to have handicap parking spots issued to individuals that have proper identification, as I do from the State of Illinois.

Since I cannot appear at the meetings due to access problems, could you please keep me informed of any decisions? Thank you."

Shaun Diltz - I was meeting with some of the people down there and we have a document that we were hoping a majority of people that park down there to sign. I am glad that she reached out to us. I probably have her on the list of the people that park down there so I will meet with her when I meet with the other people that are parking down there. **Bob Lowrance** - Okay, so you just haven't met with everybody down there? **Shaun Diltz** - I'm curious to see if she would fall in line with what we have currently if not then maybe we do want to take into consideration handicap parking. **Bob Lowrance** - We will let Shaun get with her then and we will go from there.

Variance Request for Carport (1683 Oahu)

Roger Hanko, 1683 Oahu - (distributed pictures to the Board members) Right now I have a 2 car garage. I am unable to get an SUV in it because the driveway goes down too far. The vertical height of the driveway does not allow that. What I'm hoping to install is something that would be an aesthetically pleasing and architecturally coherent addition to the side of the house. I have an existing concrete pad in place that was constructed with the idea of doing this, without realizing that carports we're not allowed as far as Association rules go. My other option available would be to tear out a bunch of concrete and place a garage. My next door neighbors have no objection to it. I believe this would improve the property values. My other problem is that my utilities, air conditioning unit, telephone and internet service are all located on that side of the home, so it makes it difficult to add on to the garage. **Bob Lowrance** - Any questions? **Shaun Diltz** - Is there a reason why you're going with the carport and not a garage? **Roger Hanko, 1683 Oahu** - In order to construct a garage it wouldn't require for me to tear out the concrete that is already there and to move all of my utilities and my air conditioning unit. It would also possibly block a site line to the lake for my neighbors.

No Motions.

Bob Lowrance - Due to the lack of motion, it dies here so it is not permitted.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Variance Request for Oversized Garage (671Y Monaco)

David Wilson, 671Y Monaco - Any questions? **Bob Lowrance** - At present what we have in front of us was a question with respect to a 24-foot wing wall and that's been deleted is that correct? **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - Yes, according to Madison County that is not allowed so I deleted it. **Bob Lowrance** - There was a question 5 foot off the property line and now you're 10 foot off the property line is that correct? **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - Yes. I am now 10 foot off the property line. **Bob Lowrance** - And so what is it exactly that you were asking for a variance for? **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - I don't need a variance. I don't know why the variance is on there I just a building permit. **Bob Lowrance** - It says on here variance request for 671Y. Does anybody have any questions? **Justin Patterson** - Is there a reason why you're not putting a footing in? **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - There are too many lines in the ground I have sewer, electric and geothermal going through there. With the style of the building it's not required. **Richard Hayes, 668 Monaco** - I live down the street from David. I understand that this is a pole barn building, 100 feet by 12 feet. If I'm mistaken, I apologize but that's what I've been told. When I moved down here 25 years ago, I did not want to move into a community that had pole barns on the property or on the lake. If you look back through your records, I believe that you will find that when David got his permit to do the renovations on his house he violated the building committee gave him to do his renovation on the house. If you give him this permission I am very worried about what will happen in the future. Plus you've got a 100 foot by 12 foot building. He's also in the contracting business and I'm very fearful that what he's going to do is bring all of his equipment and put in that building and I'm trying to figure out if you've got a 100 foot by 12 foot building unless you were storing a train in there, what are you doing with it? So I would ask the board to deny this request. Thank you. **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - I would like to know what I did wrong whenever I renovated the house. The building is 72 feet long and if you measure my truck, it is almost 25 feet long, if you measure the boat trailer that is behind my truck right now it is also 25 feet long. That leaves around 20 feet for a work bench and a lawn mower. It is 2 stalls wide and would be where the driveway is now. You can barely see my house from the road now. **Richard Hayes, 668 Monaco** - I served on this board for many years and I would just like to let you know that if you do this it could open up a can of worms that you cannot close. **Jerry Theodore, 1346** - In the covenants it reads that "no obnoxious or offensive trade or activity should be permitted on any lot or should anything be done where in it should become an annoyance to the neighborhood". A large pole barn on a small lot in a residential neighborhood would be an annoyance to me. Also in the building code, "Storage sheds - all aspects of sheds such as structures, support and Aesthetics (style, size, etc.) must be approved by the Holiday Shores Board. I think this should be considered a shed. The only thing I got to add to that is that they are the only things that I can see that might give you pause to consider this pretty deeply. I wouldn't do this lightly, mainly because there are a lot of people out here today that work from their homes and I'm sure there's a lot of them would like to have a warehouse on their lot, and if we're going to start allowing that, I don't know what this might turn out to be. **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - At the previous meetings it was stated that this is plywood siding with vinyl siding and the roof line is still shorter than the roof line of my home. I have a location down the street where I keep my equipment. There have only been a few instances when I brought my equipment or a piece of my equipment home and that is when I would bring the bobcat to clean off the driveways in the area after a snowstorm. This garage does not even take up an excessive amount of room. It has been laid out so that people can come by and take a look at the area. **Conversation Ensues.**

Bob Lowrance - We have 3 letters that have been sent to us that I would like to read into the record now.

Glen Smith, 672 Monaco - It has been brought to my attention that David Wilson is seeking a building permit to construct a large pole barn building. My husband, Dave Smith and I would like to go on record as being completely against such a construction. I was under the understanding that these types of structures were not permitted in our neighborhood. If that is not the case then they should be. These types of structures have no place in a residential area. Our additional thought is that this could possibly increase wear and tear on our street with increase traffic of larger vehicles. I have been informed that Mr. Wilson will be seeking the permit at the upcoming committee meeting. Please feel free to use this email as an official protest to this structure in my neighborhood.

Mike Jennings, 285 Castle Drive - I'm writing to the board in regards to a pole barn request being discussed on a lakefront lot as well as future proposals. I cannot attend the meeting but would like to voice my opinion. I voted for

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

the board members that I thought would make the best decisions for the lake. I cannot attend meetings nor do I feel like every time something comes up I need to run up there, that is what your voted in for. I appreciate the job you do. I don't know what the fact or fiction from what I have read or heard and I haven't seen the proposal I wanted to write regarding any proposed structure that is in excess. I admit that maybe it sounds less glamorous because this was not mentioned as a pole barn, rather than an unattached garage. However I can't see any reason that a building that is within the hundred foot long range should even be considered. It is not reasonable. Do we really want to open the floodgate to these kind of structures regardless of what they're called? I ask you this, how can we allow this and then say no to anybody else that wants to put this size of building at their home or an attached lot? If this gets passed we might as well have save space for pole barn builders to advertise in the Holiday Times because when they research how cheap you can actually put up a structure like this, everyone with enough room or an attached lot will jump on the opportunity to build a building that can store their equipment and boats as well. Please take this into consideration when making your decision.

Michael Hayes, Lot B 209 - I understand that there is an individual wanting to build a garage 671Y Monaco. I am in favor of this. If the individual meets all the requirements that have been put forth by the Holishor building committee, which as I understand it is. With all the junk I see in Holiday Shores, for you to worry about one individual wanting to put up a building, a very nice garage, he will keep vehicles stored inside, out of the way. Then I am completely in favor of this. There are so many run down houses and automobiles in Holiday Shores, you should worry about that instead of an individual trying better the looks of his personal property. This is the main reason I moved out of Holiday Shores. Way too many Hippocrates that want to bad-mouth individuals when there are hundreds of others that are doing the same things that makes the neighborhood look like a true Hood. Have you really looked at some of the things around Holiday Shores recently? This individual pays his taxes and is just like we all do. If his building is within your specifications, there's absolutely no reason to not be approved.

David Wilson, 671Y Monaco - As I said before this building is going to be pole construction, plywood sided with vinyl siding to match the house and red metal roof which was approved before. I've moved it in on the lot making it smaller and everything is within the rules. **Robin Murphy, 671Z Monaco** - I am Dave's neighbor and we are directly affected by the structure that he wants to build. I just want to make it crystal clear that we are definitely not in favor of it because it completely obstructs our lake view. We recently built our home with multiple windows for us to enjoy the lake and having that structure put right outside of our windows would take away every reason why we moved on the lake. **Conversation Ensues.**

Dave Decker - So I think from a general perspective, there's been several people that have voiced concerns regarding the aesthetics. I'm using that term in a very generic, all encompassing manner. Mr. Wilson to the point of what you do next, you're going to have to figure out how you can convince the board that it does fit. Because at this point you don't have anybody willing to make a motion to approve it. I'm not going to speak for the rest of the board, the concern of the fit. That's my take of where we are. **David Wilson, 671Y Monaco** - If I make it shorter doesn't really change anything? I've brought Rob out there and showed him. I would really like some recommendations because I changed this thing a number of times. **Bob Lowrance** - Let us take it under advisement with the building committee and we will put it over until the next meeting.

Building Committee Report
Read by Bob Lowrance

Old Business
Building Committee Report 11-21-2016
Read by Bob Lowrance

Culverts

Bob Lowrance – Shaun and Roger have not had much of a chance to work on this issue due to Roger's recent knee surgery. They will work on this further soon.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Credit Card Payments

Bob Lowrance - We got a note from Glenn with respect to credit card payments. He said there are several issues that must be resolved prior to accepting payments by credit card. We discussed that a little bit and he's going to go forward with checking into taking credit card payments for fees and dues.

Logo Contest

Laura Scaturro, 1716 - We have a logo contest. We have two entries, neither of which were what we would consider a winner. One entry was very similar to what we have now on our signs at the gate entrance, but not exactly like our entrance. And the committee thought it was so different that it looked like 2 different types of images that represented our community. So what we are recommending is that we go ahead use the logo of what we have on our gates now. **Bob Lowrance** - So that's not one of them that was submitted we are just going with what is there? **Laura Scaturro, 1716** - Yes. This is replacing the life preserver. **Bob Lowrance** - Any questions? **Jeanne Abert-Martin** - Do we need to approve this? **Laura Scaturro, 1716** - It is an expense because I would imagine that you would have to phase this in slowly such as changing the letterhead as you run out. **Jeanne Abert-Martin** - Do we have a copy? I know what the gate sign looks like. **Laura Scaturro, 1716** - Our committee doesn't have anything that you can look at. But it is the heron and the waves. Basically we're just trying to freshen up the logo. **Monte Thus** - So what I'm hearing is if we select something from this document we have to change all of the signs in Holiday Shores. **Laura Scaturro, 1716** - No. We want to keep the signs the way they already are, but we need to take it a step further and incorporate it into the letterhead and possibly the sides of the trucks. **Justin Patterson** - Do we have to select a winner? **Laura Scaturro, 1716** - No. **Monte Thus** - Can you resubmit this with the logo what's currently out there? **Laura Scaturro, 1716** - We can send to a picture. **Dave Decker** - To me, at the next meeting we have a copy of the logo that's on the sign and our packet and at that point we all agree that that's going to be our new logo or not.

Building Permit Survey

Justin Patterson - Justin Patterson - I read through the building rules we have posted online for everybody to read. We do require survey for any house that is built. But not for garage or swimming pool or anything else. **Dave Decker** - It's currently in the rules? **Bob Lowrance** - For new houses, yes. But I think what you talked about Dave was also fences and anything else that you need a building permit for. **Dave Decker** - I wasn't talking about just fences. I was told that it wasn't part of the building committee rule, that the survey was required. I was told that the survey was not required and that was the reason for the proposal of the rule I proposed that a survey would be required for any significant structure. I was under the understanding that they are not required in order to put a new home in. We got into a lot of conversation and at that point it was going to go to the rules committee to write something up and submit it to the board and then we would talk about it from that point. I'm glad to hear that we require it as part of our current permit. **Shaun Diltz** - Along with sitting down with the building committee for the other item we need to bring this up to as far as what is significant because there is quite a bit after listing out everything we required for a permit, you can make the argument for or against the survey pretty well. So I was either going to take a collage of all of them or leave it as it is with just the house. **Dave Decker** - You could almost throw a check box on the "survey required" depending on the item. An example is if you are gonna build a significant garage or a significant addition, I think you should require a survey for that. **Bob Lowrance** - So that's what's going back to the rule committee and I think that's still been out to the rules committee so we can pick that up in our next meeting as well. **Dave Decker** - For the agenda item, can we change the verbiage of that to be "building survey rule"? **Bob Lowrance** - Yes.

Department of Labor Overtime Rule

Has been delayed.

Port Lane Funding

Glenn Dalton - I went back to the engineer concerning what was included on the current bid for Port Lane. It's the grate and the bore. It doesn't include any of the road work for that portion. He said that he will shape the grate to the road work that is being projected for that area so it will not have to be replaced when the road is completed.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Dave Decker - So the reason Glenn went back to him is because we had a conversation at the finance committee meeting and my understanding of that proposal included grading the road above that bore so improvement of the drainage above the bore, not just putting a grate in the ground and drilling a hole to the lake. If we are not improving the drainage above that grate, I don't think that bore option as it stands is viable. I completely missed that we we're not doing it the grading above it. I thought that was included. **Bob Lowrance** - I'd have to agree with that. **Monte Thus** - Is there a way we can do the ditching our self upstream on that grate or are there too many problems? **Glenn Dalton** - Any change we make there Monte is going to have to be engineered above it, because of the change that we're going to be making to the drainage. We're going to have to look at what the engineer comes up with as far as his plan to bring that drainage down into the option that's chosen. **Shaun Diltz** - So if you remember they have the roads highlighted from 10 houses down from the house in question that always gets the flooding all the way up to the north, down to the South to where that asphalt started and I remember having that conversation seeing it on the plans all of those bids there is just no road work. **Dave Decker** - The original bid included road work, gutters all the way down to the cul-de-sac and I knew that the boring did not include resurfacing the road. But it also does not include, as I'm understanding it now, it also does not include grading and setting up the drainage on that side of the road down to the bore drain. But the original design and I know that picture you're talking about, it shows the road being resurfaced down to the cul-de-sac that was the original bid. **Glenn Dalton** - And that was going into a grate and piping. The big misconception that we had was the initial bid had everything in it and this one does not. It was a piping option and there was a question if a bore would be better. **Dave Decker** - I still think the boring option is the preferred option, but we've got to incorporate in whatever we approve we've got to include grading down to it in my opinion. **Shaun Diltz** - I thought it was included. **Glenn Dalton** - I think we can take the first option with the piping and incorporate those figures from the road work and the curb that was going to be put in after we restructured the road and the guttering that was supposed to take it down to the grates and put those together and come up with an idea. I'll also get with the engineer to confirm. **Dave Decker** - I think that's great. I think from the board's perspective, I think we need to come up with what we want you to go look for. I know that if we are talking about redoing the whole road it is a very expensive and I guess the question I have, not being an engineer is do we have any option to do what we had talked about doing which was to try to do this in phases? To do the first phase of getting drainage and getting that bore to handle the water, alleviate the problem right now and in the second phase come back and actually do the whole road resurface, rebuild whatever we are doing. And I don't know whether that is a feasible solution or not, but in my opinion and the financial perspective we are more likely to be able to if we can do it in different stages. Because if we try to do the whole thing at once, we are going to continue to sit around here and say we don't have the money that's my opinion. **Jeanne Abert-Martin** - Will the engineer have additional charges to put these proposals together? It sounds like a piping issue and the grate and boring. It sounds like it's kind of put together. **Glenn Dalton** - We will look at a phased approach and it sounds like we have all of the elements for a couple of different things. Let's see what we can do as far as putting a phased approach together to meet our requirements particularly when it comes to funding.

2017 Revised Budget

Monte Thus - Did anybody have any questions on it? **Shaun Diltz** - Were there any changes from last week when we went over it? **Monte Thus** - We still add the reserves that aren't out any amount that might get changed, but the money that we have in reserves at this point is more than what we're going to need. Except for that there are no real changes. **Bob Lowrance** - We can talk about that now as well. We have the 2017 reserve expenditures. **Monte Thus** - We're going to have to delay that. **Bob Lowrance** - Okay. **Glenn Dalton** - Well the reserve expenditures, basically the only thing we are looking at allotting is the parking lot and a dock. We recommend we are going to defer the dock. And we normally in summer indicate to the community what we're looking at spending in the reserves. I don't want to take you fire away Monte, but we normally approve or indicate what those expenditures are and approve them before we do them. **Jeanne Abert-Martin** - What dock are we talking about? **Glenn Dalton** - We're talking about security dock and we're going to defer that. We think we can get by a little bit longer with our preventative maintenance program. We are looking at end of year overage and where that will be applied in 2017. **Monte Thus** - And we are looking at \$80000 to replace the parking lot out here. That will come out of the

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

reserves. **Glenn Dalton** - We're going to resurface and restripe the parking lot. **Dave Decker** - So the budget we had in our last meeting did not have the carryover, correct. **Monte Thus** - Correct. **Dave Decker** - So what we had talked about in the finance committee was working on approving the budget as it was and presented to the board and in January coming back with a recommendation with actual numbers of the carryover and recommendation as to where we spend that.

Dave Decker - Motions to improve the submitted revise the budget for 2017, knowing that we will have a further revision with the carryover funds.

Jeanne Abert-Martin - Seconds.

All in Favor.

Action: Motion carries.

Dave Decker - So in regards to the reserves, according to our bylaws we have to make known exactly what it is we are planning on pulling out of the reserves and it has to be published. For two meetings or two months prior to expenditure. So we need to either submit that exactly tonight or January in the first meeting we need to present that to the board and make that decision. That doesn't mean you're going to have exact numbers on what we are going to spend, but it does identify the items you are going to spend it on and the reserve amount so that that discussion can be held following our rules. **Bob Lowrance** - We talked about that being the parking lot for \$80,000. **Glenn Dalton** - Yes sir. **Monte Thus** - So do we approve that to be done? **Dave Decker** - Honestly I do not remember the exact rule. Whether it's got to be presented and voted on. **Bob Lowrance** - I think it is. **Dave Decker** - I don't remember exactly. I do know there is a bylaw that states that we have to do something along those lines. **Bob Lowrance** - And so we are going to put that over into the next meeting.

New Business

Ameren Expanded Easement

Bob Lowrance - My question is, they've got an easement running through the lot already, is that correct? **Glenn Dalton** - Yes. They want to expand it 9 feet on each side. **Bob Lowrance** - Can this lot be built on? **Glenn Dalton** - No. They are outlots. We normally don't sell our outlots to be built on. **Bob Lowrance** - I know we don't, but is this a lot that could potentially be built on? **Glenn Dalton** - I would not want to sell anybody a lot underneath those power lines that are already there. They are basically straight over the lot. **Bob Lowrance** - I couldn't tell from the picture exactly where they're going over. **Shaun Diltz** - So are these lots not Green Space? **Glenn Dalton** - They're outlots. **Shaun Diltz** - So if we're not going to sell them are we not going to move them to Green Space? **Glenn Dalton** - They're outlots and that's what they're taxed at. **Shaun Diltz** - So do you pay taxes on Green Space? **Glenn Dalton** - We have Green Space that are outlots. **Shaun Diltz** - Okay. I did not know if there green space because I have been told a couple different things. **Bob Lowrance** - I understand what Shaun is saying, I mean on an outlot can eventually be sold, but green space is a lot that is designated as green space but cannot be sold and so then the taxes are different with respect to an outlot that is potentially buildable down the road as where with Green Space lots, the taxes are significantly less or not at all. Is that correct? **Glenn Dalton** - Yes. You guys are going to have to look at it and see if you are going to consider selling. **Bob Lowrance** - Well on this particular lot, if we are going to sell the easement, obviously you couldn't build on it and you probably wouldn't want to build on it even now the way it is, is what you're telling me. That said, we would want to make it a green space lot so that the taxes were significantly reduced then. **Bob Lowrance** - I personally wouldn't buy it. **Glenn Dalton** - This is a request to expand the easement and they're willing to pay us to do it. We just have to decide if we want the money or not. The easement is right underneath the wires and off to each side a certain amount and it's indicated in there on how wide the easement is and expanding it is 9 feet on each side. **Monte Thus** - I guess the motive behind this is to just trim trees and keep it from getting into them. There's no future requirement that they might string more power lines through there. I mean my concern would be they have a bigger easement and now they put more power lines through there and people don't like to be next to power lines with their houses. **Glenn Dalton** - I cannot answer that question. **Bob Lowrance** - Instead of going 3 wide, they could go 5 or 6 wide. **Glenn Dalton** - Yes and they already have an easement that if they expanded they could put more wires in there. If we need more information, I have a gentleman I can contact and we can bring him in for next meeting so we can provide intention. **Bob**

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Lowrance - Let's do that and also have the existing easement drawn on a piece of paper and kind of dumb it down for me if you don't mind. The aerial view can skew left and right. **Shaun Diltz** - It says it's providing an the additional fee for the right away easement is to prevent trees and vegetation from compromising the existing transmission lines. So it doesn't say that they are going to add additional lines to it. They will need to provide some additional writing on that before I would say yay or nay. **Bob Lowrance** - Alright. So let's go and hold that over for the next meeting.

Social Committee Check Signers

Bob Lowrance - The Social committee asked that we have a third person be able to sign the checks. We currently have two signatures required on each check. They wanted a third person, noting that if one of those parties was out of town or gone, no one could sign the checks. **David Decker**- Having only 2 people sign does provide a more controlled environment.

Conversation Ensues.

Bob Lowrance- The Board agreed to allow all 4 officers be allowed to sign the checks as long as they were duly signed.

Justin Patterson - Motions to allow all 4 officers (Chairman - Mendi Patterson, Vice-chairmen – Mark Mandelay, Treasurer - Terry Lowrance, and Secretary - Carol Rawson) be allowed to sign the checks.

Monte Thus - Seconds.

All in Favor.

Action: Motion Carries.

2017 Nominating Committee

We normally established the nominating Committee in December. Jeanne Votruba is willing to continue.

Jeanne Abert-Martin - Motions to approve Jeanne Votruba as the Chairperson for the Nominating Committee for 2017.

Monte Thus - Seconds.

All in Favor.

Action: Motion Carries.

Open Floor

Joe Roth, 1030 - From my understanding the land of the power light is on to be designated as green space, but think down the road. At some point in time they might not need power lines anymore and you might be giving up that property and making it so that you cannot sell it.

Shaun Diltz – The Bass club is having a fundraiser, trivia night on February 18th for Garrett Steele, a young man that passed away. The proceeds will go to a scholarship fund in his name and a check to children's hospital in his name. They're asking for the ballroom rental fee to be waived for the event, knowing that the security and cleanup fee will not be waived. **Dave Decker** – So the board has taken a stand that fundraisers, especially if they're benefitting members of the association or family members, they've typically gone along with those types of waivers. This sounds like it is in memory of a Holiday Shores Member. I would request that they submit that request in writing.

Dave Decker – Motions to approve waiving the rental fee for this event.

Justin Patterson- Seconds.

All in Favor.

Action: Motion Carries.

Dave Decker – I received a couple emails from a couple of members in regard to the President's letter that was in the Holiday Times. There's a phrase in here that I'm going to read. "For years debt collection was not a priority and collection efforts lax to say the least for the board it is a priority." Let's just say that those previous board members we're a little disappointed in those statements considering the fact that the current efforts that are going on for collections is a continuation of a policy and processes that were created prior to the current president joining the

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

board and prior to many of the members that are currently on the board being on the board. And those processes and procedures that we have been doing and implemented started quite a few years ago, I think about 5, are the current things that are currently being pursued and we're working that exact same plan that was established back then. And we continue to improve it, I will say that. This board has made a couple of improvements and the board before that made a few improvements and so on and so on. But I think it does a disservice to the previous Board to make statements like that and I just wanted to say that publicly. **Bob Lowrance** - To that I agree. The wording was probably not correct. But when I talk about previous boards, I'm talking about boards beyond the 5 years that there was nothing put in place for collections. As you said, five years ago it was put in place. So it's a continuation to that 5 years is what I'm basically trying to say that there was not a lot of collection activity going on. So for the last 5 years anybody that was on the board I do here today apologize to those members because I do agree in the last 5 years prior to me even getting on the board, the collection efforts have been ongoing and they have been good. So my opinion, Dave is prior to that. If you could let those Board members know that or I could even put that in the next President's letter and correct that myself and apologize to them. **Dave Decker** - I appreciate that. **Joe Roth, 1030** - As a resident, I would like thank Glenn Dalton because I know he has to put a lot of effort with the board into these collections.

Justin Patterson - Motions to adjourn to executive session. **Monte Thus** - Seconds

Action: Motion Carries.

Meeting adjourned at 9:23 p.m. to Executive Session.

Meeting minutes submitted by Shaun Diltz.