

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

**Date:** May 10th, 2017

**Attendance**

Board Members

**Present:** Bob Lowrance, Monte Thus, Shaun Diltz, Roger Rawson, Dave Decker, Jeanne Abert-Martin and Justin Patterson.

**Quorum Present:** Yes

Others Present

Rob Frey, and Misty Soliben and Glenn Dalton from the Holishor Office.

**Holishor Members Present:** 4

**Non-Members Present:**

**Proceedings**

Meeting called to order at 7:30 PM

Pledge of Allegiance Recited

**IT Committee**

**Rich Fennell 54-** I contacted Scott Webber about a price on an HP server, he responded to an email that he was swamped and has not had time to bid the server. I contacted another company about getting the server and they have not yet gotten back to me. It seems that HP unit they have is 2012 version which is older and the quote that he had given me was about the same as the Dell but it did not have the redundant power supply that we are wanting and it was about \$300 more to get the 2016 server. I am spinning my wheels trying to get anything from HP that is comparable to Dell. **Justin Patterson** – In conversation, the office was kind enough to go out and get a bid for a Managed serviced contract with help desk support. Thumbing through it, it did not quite meet what the IT committee and I had in mind, I think that we need more. I think what the IT Committee can do it put together some basic specs on what we would want for a service contract from a help desk. I think what we are wanting to do is go with a Managed service contract that is where they manage monthly and send us a monthly bill as opposed to what is considered a block or a retainer fee, where when we need them we call them. I know that there are several companies that do this and we could get some bids together. I would like some of the thoughts from the board. **Bob Lowrance** - I would like to see us get some bids. The bids may not be apples to apples every time but at least if we can get some bids then we can have the IT committee get back to those that are doing the bidding to try and come up with apples to apples bids. The IT committee comes back to us with those bids and a recommendation. **Rich Fennell 54** – Is this a different scope item? We have been talking about the hardware upgrades, system upgrades and getting it installed, would the IT management be a separate scope item? **Shaun Diltz** – I think that it would be wrapped up in the same item. We can get more bang for our buck if we do a lot of the installation but for what they have here is that Sumnor One would be providing that service. I think that we should get a few more of apples to apples bids, this was their professional opinion and I think that if we have a few more, and they are all similar to this, then us as the IT committee maybe did over kill it. Or we can stand strong and ask for upgrades. **Rich Fenell** – Are we now asking to get bids and then offer advice on what hardware we need to add now? **Justin Patterson** – I don't think that we need to take it that far, I think we need to stay with the hardware plan in front of us and then look at the help desk as a separate component all together because we could go into the sealed bid rule. **Glenn Dalton** – We have been looking into this for a year and it needs to be resolved. **Bob Lowrance** – Go forward with the committee and get us a recommendation. **Dave Decker** – What Bob was say was to get some bids in and then go back to them and tell them this isn't what we really want, like what Justin said we need more RAM, because it's not enough, it needs to be up to this level. It's one hard drive vs. multiple hard drives, that's not what we want we want multiple hard drives. The reason for this bid as I understand it, we gave them the specs and they came out and decided to bid based on their recommendation vs. the specs that was given. Just for our documentation purposes, give us a bid more in align with what your specs are, meet with them and then you can come to the Board and tell us the reason we don't want to go with these is bid is A B and C... I think

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

we have the confidence but it isn't documented. I would like to see this is "what we recommend and why". **Bob Lowrance** – As the office solicited this I would like the IT Committee to also get in touch with the vendor. **Glenn Dalton** – We would like to work with the IT Committee, we are the users and we would like to be a part of this. **Bob Lowrance** – We want you to be a part of this. **Dave Decker** – At this point this particular vendor should be contacted by the IT Committee because you know the specs. Glenn, is there another company that we are expecting to hear from? **Glenn Dalton** – Madison Telco had not yet responded. **Justin Patterson** – Because Madison Telco does not do this kind of work. They are only internet service provider. **Dave Decker** – In your write up, put in there that you have contacted HP through Scott Webber and they said that they were not able to bid it. That way two years down the road we have documentation. As far as going back to the services, this proposal has a monthly service fee and I like the "on Call" service you pay when you use them. Do as a separate item project item and get some research on a support group? You guys are doing this work but there is no guarantee that you will be available for our business at all times.

**Minutes of April 12th, 2017**

**Dave Decker**- Motions to approve as amended. **Justin Patterson**- Seconds.

**All in Favor.**

**Action:** Motion carried.

**Minutes of April 26th, 2017**

**Justin Patterson** - Motions to approve as amended. **Roger Rawson** – Seconds

**All in Favor.**

**Action:** Motion carried

**Transfers of Property**

**Bob Lowrance** – We had 4 transfers of property, 1 House and 3 Vacant Lots. Vacant lots are starting to sell again and last month we had one that sold for \$4500.00 and this month sold for \$4435.00 and \$5300.00, so there seems to be some constancy here as to what the Vacant lots are selling for. Is this something that we would like the Real Estate Committee to look into our vacant lots again? **Ray Garber 1822** –I am on the Real Estate Committee and recently there was a vacant lot, I believe it was non-buildable that sold for \$2500. Our recommendation was to sell for \$4000, we discussed it briefly. When we recommend \$4000 and reduce it by \$1500 which is 40%, that does not give me confidence in all the work that I am currently doing and giving to the Board if I am that far off. It would be a better use of our time if you would give us a recommendation. **Bob Lowrance** – I agree and to give you the reasoning behind that there were some other sales between the \$3500-\$5500 range that were buildable lots. If I recall it was negotiated and it was an un-buildable lot. That is why I am asking the Real Estate Committee to look at these lots again. **Ray Garber** – When the Board gets a feeling that the thinking may have changed then please make us aware of that. I always caution that no one can predict the future and you may get a variance, if something is changing please get with the Committee.

**Bills & Salaries**

**Roger Rawson** - Motions to approve as submitted. **Jeanne Abert-Martin**– Seconds.

**All in Favor.**

**Action:** Motion carried.

**Bob Lowrance** – There is a bill for Henneghan and Associates for \$3300.00 what is that expense, is that a part of a bigger bill? **Glenn Dalton** – I think that was an expenditure from last year that we just got a bill for, but I will get a break out for that.

**Profit Loss Budget**

Information Only.

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

**Manager's Report**

Read by **Glenn Dalton**

**Monte Thus** – In regards to the rain and boats floating away, a member told me that there is a pontoon boat by the Dam and I think that they had reported it, it is still sitting there and doesn't look like anyone has come to claim it. **Glenn Dalton** – There is a dock there and the boat is on common ground as long he stays on the outboard side and it does not swing into our spillway there is really nothing we can do about it; it is common area. **Monte Thus** – I understand, but it was reported that it is wedged between the tree and what you are telling me is that it is his normal parking space. **Glenn Dalton** – Yes, that is normally where he parks.

**Public Safety Report**

Read By **Glenn Dalton**

During this period 79 incident were reported, citations written 65. Animal incidents we had 2, vehicle incidents we had 2, property 65, incidents involving persons 1, alarm responses 6, and other incidents 3. **Monte Thus** – The ski Club trailer where we park at the practice site and the lock was cut off, people will want to know. **Glenn Dalton** – There was another similar incident.

**Correspondence**

**Jet Ski's**

**Jay Eichelab 434** – I have been a member since 1986, I would like the Board to consider a rule change for Jet Ski's. The limit is 750cc, 85hp and the limit was put in place more than twenty years ago and has not changed. The problem is that they do not even make the 750cc size anymore. The wave runner product specs have changed and they have not been made since 2002. Today's Wave runner market 800cc, 120hp which is readily able to be purchased today and that is the closest size model to our current specifications and would be a small increase. My proposal would be for the Board to consider changing this limit to the at least 800cc 120hp limit on a wave runner which is readily available for purchase. **Justin Patterson** – I have also had comments from neighbors on this topic and have asked them to write a letter to the Board. The wave runners now have a lot more safety features. **Bob Lowrance** – Have we had problems with wave runners more so than boats? **Glenn Dalton** – During my period, we have had more wave runner accidents than we have had boat accidents. I can remember 3 wave runner accidents. **Dave Decker** – I think that we should have Glenn research for the data. **Bob Lowrance** – We will get some information from Glenn and bring this back to the next meeting so that we can discuss in more detail to the data that we receive. **Jay Eichenlaub 434** – There is a limit on the size of a boat, length wise, but there is no limit on the engine size. Is there a speed limit? **Bob Lowrance** – 55 **Jay Eichenlaub 434** – I would say that if the Board considers this request then there should be a speed limit for the Wave Runners as well. I do not believe that it is the engine size that is the problem, set a limit and restrictions to it, it is the people who run them to fast. The same thing could happen with a boat. **Glenn Dalton** – We do have a radar gun and we do use it. The problem with the enforcement is that the radar gun we have will allow me to paint them on a fiberglass bass boat running down the lake, what we are normally painting is the engine, not the fiber glass boat but the engine. On a jet ski I do not have that, so I would not be able to give you a speed. **Jay Eichenlaub 434** – Our radar gun does not work on Jet Skis? **Glenn Dalton** – Because they are small and because of the fiber glass. We could go with a high dollar radar gun and could probably do that but with our current radar gun we do not have that capability. **Jay Eichenlaub** – So is the speed the concern on the lake? **Roger Rawson** – I think that the concern is the operator, I have had them cutting off my boat or jumping the wake going 35 miles per hour and mostly they are kids that do not have the common sense. **Jay Eichenlaub** – Would it be up to the Board to allow 12 years old to operate them. **Roger Rawson** – That would open up a can of worms. **Shaun Diltz** – 12 years old is the state law, they can operate a watercraft if they have taken the safety course. **Dave Decker** – My understanding, Urban Legend, when that rule was put into place and established, they didn't even make them back then. At one point that is what I was told and I don't know that to be true. What they did was built a rule that was impossible at that time and the other part is that there are some folks that would be happy to see wave runners go away from this lake. The idea of leaving a size that is not purchasable as a rule is a way to weed them out and slowly eliminate them as

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

a PWC that is allowed on the lake. **Jay Eichenlaub** – That is something for the Board to address, whether you want them on the lake or not. Justin made a point that wave runners are more safe now than they were in 1995, stopping and turning and coasting down the lake. There are some positive in that regard. 800cc is a common model and I would propose that it be considered that if they will be allowed on the lake to look at the safety features and upping the Horse power. **Bob Lowrance** – We will look at the issue at the next meeting and we will decide as a Board.

Conversation Ensues...

### **Zumba**

**Kendra Henski** – I would like to sponser a Zumba class in the Ballroom with Elizabeth O'Dell We would like to use the ballroom 6pm-6:55pm on Tuesdays, it would be \$5.00 per class and some of the proceeds will go back to Holiday Shores. **Glenn Dalton** – 10% of the fees would be collected. **Shaun Diltz** – It is in the Rules that 20% would be collected. **Justin Patterson** – I did look up this instructor and she is certified.

Conversation Ensues...

**Dave Decker** – Do we have conflict on the room? **Glenn Dalton** – They have taken that into consideration, Karate is also scheduled on Tuesday at 7pm.

**Jeanne Abert- Martin** – Motions to allow use of the Ballroom to Elizabeth O'Dell for Tuesday night Zumba class 6pm – 6:55pm. **Justin Patterson** – Seconds

**Dave Decker** – I would like to note that the majority of the participants are to be Holiday Shores Residents.

**Kendra Henske** – I have discussed with Brandy about advertising in the Holiday Times, on Facebook and the marquees. Danielle Mattingly, who was in charge last time may have an email list of the participants from the last time and I would like to contact them to see if they would be interested in joining the class. They were all Holiday Shores residents.

### **All in Favor**

**Action:** Motion Carries

### **Financial Committee Update.**

**Monte Thus** – On Monday, the finance committee met to go over a number of items. One was the quarterly review that we are required to do, based on what we have come up with on the first quarter what we are currently projecting is that income projections are currently \$21, 600 below budget due to the offset of bad debt expense of \$85,800. Expense projections are \$4200 lower than budget resulting in a projected short fall of \$17,400. At this time, we do not recommend making any adjustments other than regarding the 2016 carry over. The 2016 carryover we have a carryover of \$174,466.14 after accounting for our refund liability of \$1,847.00 So far the current board has approved the expenditures as follows, Port Lane \$80,000, It Back Up \$6,500.00 and Project design roadways and drainage \$28,000 for a total of \$114,500.00 that leaves a remaining \$59,966.14 remaining and we are recommending that w to be use earmark this for culvert repairs for Holiday Point Parkway, that is estimated to be repaired in 2020, while we recommend earmarking this for reserve funding, with this contingent with having a budget surplus at the end of this year. The Finance Committee recommends adding the following items to the reserve schedule, the Holiday Point Parkway culvert that is \$45,000.00 to replace that. Culvert two, is on the North End, the Jolters Creek culvert, that is \$80,000.00 for replacement. Glenn had mentioned in previous meeting that we need two salt spreaders replaced, but want to spread them out where we are not buying two of them in the same year and each of those are \$6500.00. The Finance committee asks not to make any adjustments until after the second quarter review.

**Conversation Ensues Amongst the Board and Finance Committee...**

### **Credit Card Payments**

**Justin Patterson-** The rep got back to me with Heartland and the rates are 2.3% and suggested by the Board an estimated \$250,000.00 collected per year in credit card payments, their rate would go up to .45% from .25% they still have 4 cents per swipe card. Their base rate is the same across the board, visa, MasterCard, AmEx doesn't matter. The other stuff remains the same, free equipment, no PCI charges and so on. It is just the

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

percentage rate that would change. She did mention they are willing to lock in the million-dollar rate of the .25% for 12 months if the Board decides that they want to do that. They have never raised rates due to not making that mark (but would not put in writing) **Glenn Dalton** – We will have minimal input coming in. **Justin Patterson** – I personally think that you will be surprised. **Glenn Dalton** – It is after the 10<sup>th</sup> of May and if you look at where we are standing we do not have a lot of members that are outstanding. I am just looking at this current year. **Justin Patterson** -You can accept for building permits and other things that can be ran through the system we. Based on that rate of \$650.00 we would have to charge the member \$667.22 to cover that at .45 and the effective rate would be .275% plus 4 cents because you swiped the card, so then you are charging \$17.92 to use a credit card. **Dave Decker** - the .45% is against the charge rate, no against the whole amount? **Justin Patterson** – The .45% rate is the charge rate not the charge plus the 2.3% base rate. **Dave Decker** – So it is against the \$600.00? **Justin Patterson** – It is against the \$650.00 not the \$650.00 plus the 2.3%. **Dave Decker** – Doing this is going to cost us money, labor, time, effort and reconciliation. Speaking as a single Board member this should not be simple pass through rate. The term of it would cost the membership “x”, I don’t think we are there yet. **Dave Decker** – Heartland is our low cost provider. There are many ways for us to establish a convenience fee. We would all like to see credit cards accepted.

**Dave Decker** – Motions for Heartland to be our Credit Card provider. **Justin Patterson** – Seconds

**All in Favor**

**Action:** Motion Carried

**Dave Decker** – Now we need to start the conversation of the Convenience Fee. **Shaun Diltz** – Are we going to lock ourselves into the .45%? **Dave Decker** – I don’t see why we wouldn’t for the one million, we told them this is what we expect, but we are willing to give you the better rate. **Bob Lowrance** - \$25.00 is what came up to me for a convenience fee. **Dave Decker** – I think that there needs to be a minimum charge, “you can’t charge unless it is x amount of dollars” and then there should be a flat percentage rate. **Bob Lowrance** – the problem I see happening is the calculation of percentage as opposed to a flat fee. **Justin Patterson** – I am not positive but I think the percentage can be calculated into the terminal. **Bob Lowrance** – If that is an option I think that is reasonable. **Dave Decker** – I believe this should be an ongoing conversation. I would suggest a minimum of \$50.00. I would be happy to make a recommendation but I would need to think about it and get back to you, I am open to suggestions. **Ray Garber 1822**- With the Credit Cards, would you be able to pay by phone or only in person? **Justin Patterson** – At this time we don’t want to do reoccurring billing and only in person swiping of the card. With PCI compliance we do not want to be responsible for cc numbers. **Dave Decker** – I think we need to also set the guide lines, doing it over the phone would not be a problem but no doing the online. We will have to train our staff.

Conversation Ensues...

### **Building Survey Rule**

**Shun Diltz** – We are coming up on the 28 days to take a vote. *Reads the Building Survey.* **Dave Decker** – The only change to this rule is the addition of the last sentence, “In addition if there is not a registered survey on record at HSBARC then a registered survey will be needed for the following items” **Justin Patterson** – It is only requiring a survey for these items if there is not currently one on file.

**Dave Decker** – Motions to approve the rule change as submitted. **Justin Patterson** – Seconds

**Bob Lowrance** – I am against it, this can be interpreted in different ways as pins in the ground, markers etc.. **Ray Garber 1822**- Are we doing this to protect the Association? It seems as more negative than positive. **Roger Rawson** – We are involved with permits and we are the one to make sure that things are built within the guidelines. If there is a survey in the office and someone comes into the office for a permit, with that survey after whatever is built the staff can check with the survey to make sure things are built where they are supposed to be. **Ray Garber** – Well what have we been doing in the past? **Roger Rawson** – Without knowing where the property pins are, you are guessing or going by the information of the property owner. **Dave Decker** – If you have a survey then you at least have a starting point.

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Conversation Ensues...

**Gerry Theodore 1846** – In my opinion this is the most stupid thing you could do, no cities do it, no counties do it, and why you want to do it I have no clue. What is it going to gain you? I have no idea. If you say that you want to have one on file, and I have one and sell my home is that considered on file? **Shaun Diltz** – Yes.

**Gerry Theodore 1846** – Will the new homeowner have to get one? Just because I have a survey done today, and I do something 1 year to 5 years from now, you may or may not find those pins. It is at the risk of whoever is doing the work and it is a civil matter. If it is built across the line and the other person catches you, then you will have to remove it or buy a piece of the lot. I see no need for it at all. **Bob Lowrance** – For the record, I agree with Gerry.

All in Favor – Justin Patterson, Dave Decker and Roger Rawson

All Opposed – Jeanne Abert Martin, Monte Thus and Shaun Diltz

Tie Breaker – Bob Lowrance

Action: Motion Fails

### North Property Park

No Update at this time.

### 2017 Road Plan –

**Glenn Dalton** – We had provided that at the last meeting, we had 4 options, we wanted to give you all time to look at them and consider what was being proposed. **Justin Patterson** – There were some changes made to those maps after you had already given them to us. We asked at the last meeting to have those changes made and given back to us. There were culverts that were taken out or missing and so on. I think that every plan had something changed on it, I have not seen those changes. **Rob Frey** – Yes, they did have changes. **Justin Patterson** – I have not seen them and I am don't think any of the Board has seen them. **Bob Lowrance** – Did we get in touch with Henneghan & Associates to see what they had to say? **Glenn Dalton** – They are still putting the data together. **Bob Lowrance** – As far as treating bad roads or other roads first, we asked if they could give us some input. Did they do that? **Glenn Dalton** – I have not gotten anything back from them on that. **Bob Lowrance** – That is one of the biggest contingents of the Board, should we take care of our best roads or our worst roads first? Since there is no longer a road committee, I would like to hear from a professional what they think. **Glenn Dalton** – We will follow up with Henneghan, and Justin we will also check on what you asked as well. **Shaun Diltz**- I think there were some cul-de-sacs that are not finished that you said would be. **Justin Patterson** – If you could just double check that the maps we have are accurate. **Dave Decker** – Plan A is out first because we are rolling over so much money. Our goal is that we have the money let's spend it, let's do the repairs and let's do some work. One proposal was to do nothing but cul-de-sacs and I disagree with that. I believe in doing a few and spending the rest of the time on the roads. That is a plan that we tried to put in place a few years back, do a couple through the years and rotate through them. The one plan with the cul-de-sacs did not have much work on the roads. Do some roads and some cul-de-sacs in parallel. **Bob Lowrance** – I think that we are in agreement with Dave about doing a few cul-de-sacs and more roads. **Dave Decker** – I asked last meeting, because we are proposing to rock and chip Overlook and High Point again, seems like we did that not long ago. I asked you to go back and find out when we had done that. **Glenn Dalton** – 2013 is when we did Overlook and 2014 is when we did High Point. With the 5 year life expectancy we are starting to get some pot holes. **Dave Decker** – The life expectancy of an Oil and Chip is five years. What that means is that there are other roads out here that have not been oil and chipped in over five years. There is quite a few of them and we continue to ignore those roads and we continue to keep a couple roads great, and do nothing with the rest. We have got to get past that point. The proposal here was to completely rebuild Sextant, and I drove that road there are some spots that are bad but I think that we would be throwing money away to completely rebuild that road. I would say maybe 20% is bad. **Jeanne Abert Martin** – When the Road Committee was a committee their recommendation was to work on the good roads. **Dave Decker** – I went back through their recommendation and they recommended to oil and chip the roads that we did last year and then turn around and oil and chip a majority of the other roads, not just certain roads. They had it split up into two or three phases. **Glenn Dalton** – Into quadrants. **Dave Decker**- If we're going to rebuild a road, let's do

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

one of the worst. **Glenn Dalton**- In respect to the rebuilding of the road, we have to take into account drainage and ditching also. **Bob Lowrance** – That’s why I think we should wait for the professional’s opinion on how to move forward. **Glenn Dalton** - I will get try to get that input before next meeting. Along with that I will have more information about the PaVision study. **Dave Decker** – Based on what we’ve been talking about, the roads we oil and chipped last year, you’re saying in 3 years, we’ll oil and chip them again? **Rob Frey** - Maybe. There are other factors that would lead to that decision, like traffic, and how bad have the winters been. Compared to some other municipalities they do it every 3-4 years. **Dave Decker** – You can’t compare us to other municipalities. **Rob Frey** – Why? **Dave Decker** - Are they fixing their roads? **Rob Frey** – We’re fixing them better, believe it or not. **Dave Decker** – All we’re doing is oil and chipping? **Rob Frey** - Yes but we’re also going in with repairs, pugmill and hot patch. The same company that did our road, did Bethalto’s road and they commented our roads were a lot better. **Dave Decker** – So we’re patching pot holes and doing oil and chip. **Rob Frey** – Yes, along with road edges. **Bob Lowrance**- Bethalto has no money either. **Dave Decker** – I just think about Nassua Dr and how bad that road is. **Rob Frey** – I agree, I think that road should be completely rebuilt and I’m looking forward to an engineer’s finding on that road. And on how to rebuild it, where is the water going to go, do we need to rebuild a base?

Conversation Ensues...

**Bob Lowrance** – Let’s put this onto the agenda and get Henneghan in here the next meeting.

**Boat Dock Electrical Inspections**

**Shaun Diltz**- Glenn did me a favor and reached out to IALC (Illinois Association of Lake Communities) and they responded back that none of them have any electrical inspections on their docks. The reason is the liability that would fall on the association. I also contacted the Fire department here in the Shores and they said they were going to review and get back to the board.

**Instrument of Affirmation Support of Incorporation**

**Bob Lowrance** – In the week ending 5/5 the current Incorporation Count is 286.

Roger Rawson Can we get a time line of the names for those that have signed the affirmation? **Glenn Dalton** – That was provided to Jim McCann last week.

**New Business**

**Port Lane Trees**

**Glenn Dalton** – We discussed the issue of boring and the impact to the trees in the vicinity of the proposed bore with the arborist from Arbor Masters. He walked the area and determined as long as we were 10 feet under the tree it should not impact any of the tap roots. He also indicated if we did impact the life of the tree that would be very visible within a two-year period. Our proposed depth is 15 feet and we do not expect an impact associated with our bore.

**Port Lane**

**Glenn Dalton** – We have coordinated with Hennegan & Associates (Kurt Westrich) the start time for Phase 1 will be the last week of May/1<sup>st</sup> week of June. The project will be complete within 2 weeks of the start. Traffic on Port Lane and the lake will not be impacted during that period except the day we pull the pipe into the bore from the lake. Our maintenance boat and Public Safety boat will assist this project on that day. We will use our hot patch machine to surface the channel developed to enhance drainage into the Port Lane grate.

**2016 Carry Over and Allocation**

Held Over

**Burning**

Holiday Shores  
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

**Glenn Dalton** – We currently have a member whom wants to know where in the rules and regulations does it say that he couldn't burn pallets because there were untreated wood and just because we have a rule on yard wastes burning that does not stop him from burning anything he wants to. Our practices are "Only" yard waste burning is permitted from sunrise to sunset with the exception of "Bonfires". Bonfires are open fires and permitted after dark for a social gathering or celebration and must be extinguished upon completion. The EPA gives examples of thing that cannot be burned. How does the Board want to go forward with this? Do we want to address bonfires and do we want to address this in our rules? **Roger Rawson** – If you will allow a bonfire, do you allow construction lumber to be used? Is this a big enough issue? **Glenn Dalton** – We have always enforced no construction material. **Roger Rawson** - Construction lumber is against Illinois EPA law anyway. **Dave Decker** – One of the lines in our rules are, all Madison County laws will be observed. **Bob Lowrance** – This is state vs. Madison County. **Bob Lowrance** – Glenn, call and find out if the state or county is more restrictive. **Dave Decker** – I tried to do some research and when I started looking into and it is very hard to find. **Bob Lowrance** – We will address this at the next meeting.

Conversation Ensues

**Roger Rawson** – The Restaurant Addition, we have tried to get the Hood in the restaurant which doesn't meet code and doesn't work the way it is supposed to. We can't get a contractor to bid the work. The idea that is being passed around is to add onto the side of the kitchen. We are starting the process of getting information to add on to the side of the building. Possibly just an area for the cooking in the kitchen or just an addition to the kitchen. **Bob Lowrance** – Roger is the one proposing and is looking into the addition rather than just a new hood. **Roger Rawson** – I reached out to Dan Corey to get the requirements and basically it is a feasibility study.

**Shaun Diltz** – The personal water craft topic, if we were to allow newer jet skis, they have enhanced safety mechanisms like kill switches that may not exist on older model PWCs that are out here now. **Justin Patterson** – On the new ones when you let off the throttle they are designed to almost come to a complete stop while killing the engine.

**Open Floor**

**Gerry Theodore** – The last board meeting when you talked about the electric to the docks, I told you about the GFI's. The length and gage affects them and when I had Chris check them out, I think I used 12 gauge and his calculation would be required 6 gauge or 8 gauge. That would be costly, it would need to be from the fuse box all the way, roughly 140 feet.

**Dave Decker** – Motions to move to executive session. **Justin Patterson** – Seconds

**All in Favor**

**Action:** Motion Carries

**Meeting adjourned at 10:47 p.m. to Executive Session.**

**Meeting minutes submitted by Shaun Diltz.**